

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_95284</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Vaginal Sarcoma: A rare Case reported from Tertiary Care Hospital of Northern India</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript "Vaginal Sarcoma: A rare Case reported from Tertiary Care Hospital of Northern India" may be rather interesting to the scientific community. This clinical case is rather rare. But it will be important and valuable to the readers of the "Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research" (researchers and doctors).</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable. I don't suggest any other alternative title.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive. But I suggest to add more information about the treatment of the patient and the consequences of the transferred disease and the algorithm of follow-up of this patient.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript ( the case report) is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. I recommend to add some more references to the article.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript "Vaginal Sarcoma: A rare Case reported from Tertiary Care Hospital of Northern India" may be rather interesting to the readers of the «Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research». The described clinical case is very rare, but rather interesting. The manuscript is illustrated with 3 figures. I recommend to add some more information about the treatment of the patient, the algorithm of her future examination and some more references. The manuscript "Vaginal Sarcoma: A rare Case reported from Tertiary Care Hospital of Northern India" may be published in the «Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research» after considering the reviewer's recommendations. I wish the authors success in their future research.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lesia Ostapiuk
Department, University & Country	Pulmonary Health Center, Ukraine