

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_95268 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of Metformin Combined with Dietary Intervention on Childhood Obesity and Insulin Resistance |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---------------------------|--|
| | | |

Review Form 1.7

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>NO</p> <p>No</p> <p>Correct, but given that there was an intervention, it appears to be more of a quasi-experimental study than a case control study. He performed two interventions at once, which might have impacted their distinctive outcomes.</p> <p>17 TH REFERENCE NOT IN GOOD FORMAT.</p> <p>Keywords: It is preferable to list items alphabetically and in mesh words.</p> <p>Please make reference to the statistical tests mentioned in the methodology in the proper table and context.</p> <p>Better to mention the sample size.</p> <p>If possible, provide the ethical committee number.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Ok but better to compact and consice</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>If possible, it is preferable to add the study type in the title.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | <p>Reviewer's comment</p> | <p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p> |
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>Name:</p> | <p>Rakesh Kotha</p> |
| <p>Department, University & Country</p> | <p>Osmania University, Niloufer Hospital, India</p> |