

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_95169
Title of the Manuscript:	Hyaluronic Acid Enriched Fat Graft Versus Cartilage Perichondrium for Repair of Medium Size Tympanic Membrane Perforations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	I appreciate your efforts for this research study and I would like to give you certain suggestions that would uplift your article 1.Fat tympanoplasty is recommended only for small perforations or one quadrant perforations as the uptake is significant 2.The images shown in your manuscript were showing one quadrant perforation only and not a medium sized perforation 3.And also adding to it that the image showed myringosclerotic plaque in the Antero superior and inferior quadrant and posterosuperior quadrant which would have caused more hearing loss post surgery – so ideally case selection is important 4.I would recommend you to make the necessary changes for further consideration of your manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Varunkumar J
Department, University & Country	Sri Venkateshwaraa Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, India