

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_95146
Title of the Manuscript:	BHRF1-1 MicroRNA of Epstein Barr Virus in Chronic B- Lymphocytic Leukemia Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is interesting and informative for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title is appropriate, but for more detail, you can also include the type of study. (Expression of BHRF1-1 MicroRNA of Epstein Barr Virus in Chronic B- Lymphocytic Leukemia patients – A Retrospective Study)</p> <p>3. Although the abstract is comprehensive key words can incorporate 2 or more with the included and should be arranged in alphabetical order.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are fine.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Please check references in accordance with the journal's format.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language and English quality of the article are fine, but please check the grammar and sentence formation once.</p> <p>Please include abbreviations for any short terms used in the article.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The study is good and informative. Because of the significant result, it is more encouraging to conduct in-depth research with a large sample size.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K.Yamini
Department, University & Country	Narayana Dental College and Hospital, India