

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_94451
Title of the Manuscript:	Oral Magnesium Supplements for Management of Pediatric Asthma Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Group B involved twinty asthmatic children who were given ordinary asthma treatment only and twenty healthy children with apparently age and sex matched were served as control group. Correct as Group B involved twenty asthmatic children who were given ordinary asthma treatment only and twenty healthy children with apparently age and sex matched were served as control group. There was a negative significant relation between asthma severity and both of serum Mg and pulmonary function tests. correct as There was a negative significant correlation between asthma severity and both of serum Mg and pulmonary function tests. Cases not receiving any medications that can influence level of Mg e.g., calcium blockers, diuretic therapy. Correct as Cases not receiving any medications that can influence level of Mg e.g., calcium channel blockers, diuretic therapy. Table 1: comparison between two studied groups as regards hematologic parameter's pulmonary function tests. Correct as Table 2: Comparison between two studied groups as regards hematologic parameter's pulmonary function tests. 	
Optional/General comments	Good novel article	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nitika Hans
Department, University & Country	Government Medical College, India