

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_95111
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and characterization of endophytic plant growth promoting <i>Kosakonia radicincitans</i> to stimulate wheat growth in saline soil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory REVISION</u> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Conclusion References <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Can provide scientific information for agricultural commodities, especially for wheat crops. It is recommended to change the title, because there is a similarity with the title " Isolation and characterization of endophytic plant growthpromoting bacteria from date palm tree (<i>Phoenix dactylifera</i> L.) and their potential role in salinity tolerance" Authors : Mahmoud W. Yaish • Irin Antony • Bernard R. Glick, in journal Antonie van Leeuwenhoek DOI 10.1007/s10482-015-0445-z published online by Springer on April 10, 2015. It is necessary to add recommendations from the best research results It is appropriate, but it is recommended that the citation number be corrected by referring to the provisions of this journal has met scientific standards Interaction between treatments needs to be added to the discussion and citations from recent journals need to be added to support this statement conclusions need to be corrected which describes the interaction between treatment and research objectives. Follow the instructions for writing and numbering REFERENCES specified in this journal 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Already appropriate	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	This manuscript can be accepted with corrections as written on the manuscript sheet	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nasruddin
Department, University & Country	Indonesia