

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_95411
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing molecular imaging for cancer diagnosis in Africa using Nigeria as a case study
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjo.com/index.php/IRJO/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This submission to the journal is around a questionnaire of a sample of the population. It is written in more of common language format and the details are missing. There is certain literature with guidelines as to writing such a paper, Academic Medicine, Vol. 93, No. 3 / March 2018; Research Involvement and Engagement (2020) 6:15; and J Korean Med Sci. 2020 Nov 23; 35 (45): e398. If it is intended to be a review, then each section should review the field and its advances, and details of the various imaging modalities should be provided with extensive literature referenced, or if just a single imaging modality is the subject of the research, then all of the details should be provided (including on imaging agents and analyses methods). If a public health report is the goal of the submission, then the incidence (prevalence/duration) and other demographic indicators should be reported in a Table rather than the abstract; there is certain background that can be reported within the abstract.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Please see above</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>n/a</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hemant Sarin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>United States of America</b>