

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_95223
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Study of Psychological Impact of COVID-19 on Healthcare and Non-Healthcare Worker using WHO-QOL BREF and PHQ-9 Scale- Nationwide Cross-sectional Study
Type of the Article	Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1 - Yes, the article has the potential for publication, it contributes significantly with information and data that will help in decision-making on this topic.</p> <p>2 - The Title used is good, but I believe that for lay readers it should be clearer and more direct, leaving more specific contents to be unraveled during the transcorrer of the text</p> <p>Suggest: Psychological Impact of COVID-19 on Health Professionals and Non-Health Professionals: A national cross-sectional study</p> <p>3 - Yes it is suitable for the work presented and meets the requirements to outline well the presented highlights</p> <p>4 - Yes, it has a good structure and organization</p> <p>5 - Its conduction is correct, it is a study that involves human beings, however it does not identify or expose them, dispensing with the opinion of the ethics committee.</p> <p>6 - The subject of COVID-19 is extremely current, numerous scientific articles on the subject are published monthly. the article brings more recent references from 2021, I believe it should contain references from 2022, considering that this work will be explored in 2023.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is adequate, it uses the language clearly and correctly</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The discussion is relatively shallow, it would be interesting to look for more works to increase the discussions, in addition the authors do not present the limitations found in the study, it would be another interesting topic to add in the manuscript</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Maykon Jhuly Martins de Paiva</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Gurupi, Brazil</b>