

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_94801
Title of the Manuscript:	Deep brain stimulation - Emerging indications and newer techniques -the current status
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. References should be given properly 2. Author check the flow of the work. 3. Tables should be added to give a clear view of the previous work. 4. Author should draw flow diagrams for a clear depiction.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Author should give a detailed introduction about the DBS. 2. Author can compare the advantages of DBS with the previous methods. 3. Author stating about the disease can add few points about the disease and the statistical data of the sufferers of disease.	
Optional/General comments	Author should make changes in the manuscript to look as a review article, author can go through the journal articles to get an idea of review article.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vamsidhar Enireddy
Department, University & Country	K L University, India