

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_94983
Title of the Manuscript:	NATURE'S CURE – BIOMIMETICS FOR APEXIFICATION
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijrrd.com/index.php/IJRRD/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	It has a beneficial clinical impact . The title is suitable and self-descriptive. yes no major issues found Many issues present in the case record, investigation and examination that need to be managed properly; like 'Radiographic examination demonstrated incomplete root formation (blunderbuss canal) with periapical radiolucency in relation to 21 (Fig 2). Tooth gave no spontaneous pain/positive response to thermal tests which was suggestive of pulp necrosis' test results are incorrect.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Many issues regarding grammar, typing error and punctuation are present. need proof read.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bestoon Mohammed Faraj
Department, University & Country	University of Sulaimani , College of Dentistry, Iraq