

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_95242
Title of the Manuscript:	Bone involvement in pediatric acute myeloid leukemia: what can we currently infer?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijr2h.com/index.php/IJR2H/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. Little bit useful 2. A study of bone involvement in AML in a tertiary care center 3. Yes, objectives need to be more precise. 4. Yes properly. There is lack of flow while reading. Lot of acronyms are used without using a full form at beginning. 5. Need to rewrite the introduction and discussion part . 6. No recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Not very much.	
Optional/General comments	 1. Tables need to be rearranged with proper headings and contents. 2. File with track changes is also submitted please make the changes accordingly 3. Comparison with other studies in tabular form is required, 4. Include recent references also. 5. A proper conclusion and recommendation should be included at the end	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harsha Dangare
Department, University & Country	MIMER Medical College, India