

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_95383</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Qualitative Evaluation of Germinated Multi-Millet-Based Functional Snack Product</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This is an interesting study and the authors have collected a unique dataset using cutting edge methodology. The paper is generally well written and structured. concise and informative, clearly stating the main findings of the manuscript. Avoid using new terminology, in my opinion the manuscript important for scientific community, especially the title "Qualitative Evaluation of Germinated Multi-Millet-Based Functional Snack Product" is concisely summarize the subjects, conclusions, and results of the manuscript. In the abstracts of experimental papers, specify the quantities measured and objects studied and clearly describe the experimental conditions</p> <p>concerning the references There are much better and recent references for scientific study.</p> <p>In general, the manuscript important for scientific community and it's scientifically correct.</p> <p>Overall, this is a high-quality manuscript that has implications for the theoretical basis,</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The manuscript needs to be edited for grammar and syntax in this manuscript has to be improved for publication.</p> <p>There are numerous errors of "Tense and Grammar" throughout the manuscript. Therefore, a diligent editing is in order to fix the English language".</p> <p>In some of the figures, the legends are too small to be legible.</p> <p>the references should be presented according to guidelines charts.</p> <p>The revised manuscript from the copy-editor came with some minor changes.</p> <p>The conclusions seem to be descriptive. They should be rewritten in more details, clearly stating the facts.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript was an excellent report on very thorough research. The literature review was thorough, the methodology was painstakingly thorough and after could be suitable for publication. It also addresses an important structural problem and addresses a subject that is of great interest in the scientific community, a few minor editorial comments are provided.</p> <p>Overall, this manuscript is written exceptionally well. The use of English language is very good with out some errors, and the sections follow clearly. There no major comments. No technical errors and approved for publication.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussein M. Traboulsi
Department, University & Country	Lebanese University, Lebanon