

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_94963
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ORGANIC MANURE AND INORGANIC FERTILIZER ON GROWTH YEILD AND QUALITY OF ONION (<i>Alliumcepa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The peer-reviewed article is interesting, relevant, contains some novelty and expands knowledge about the influence of both organic and inorganic fertilizers on onion growth, yield and quality. More importantly, it will certainly direct further research in this field to increase organic onion production. However, I recommend some changes before acceptance and publication.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is exhaustive</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate and it contains the necessary sections. However, the "SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION" section is separately highlighted in the article, in my opinion it is not necessary. It is enough to summarize in the results section, and to present the conclusions separately.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct, but has certain inaccuracies. The obtained research results are presented in the article in the form of as many as 11 tables. Small tables containing only 2 columns should be combined into larger ones for better understanding of the material. The article also lacks references to the given tables. References to each table must be made after its first mention in the text. It is better to describe the obtained results in more detail than to present a large number of individual tables.</p> <p>6. The list of used literary sources is too small and does not contain new references. It is necessary to add new, fresh foreign references on the research topic.</p> <p>7. At the end of the introduction, it is necessary to clearly formulate and explain the purpose of the research</p> <p>8. It is appropriate to indicate on which types of soils the research was conducted. It is also necessary to indicate the agrochemical characteristics of the soil before starting the experiment.</p> <p>9. In the methodology, it is necessary to indicate the chemical composition of organic and inorganic fertilizers used in the research, the content of the main nutrients in them.</p> <p>10. In the section "Materials and methods" it should be described in detail by which methods the studied indicators were determined, especially ascorbic acid, otalsolublesolidsinonion.</p> <p>11. The article partially gives the results of mathematical and statistical processing of the obtained data. It is necessary to supplement them and indicate which methods and programs were used in this case.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Authors should ensure that correct grammar is used throughout the manuscript. I suggest a language review of this paper.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In my opinion, the article deserves to be published after revision.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olifir Yuriy
Department, University & Country	Institute of Agriculture of Carpathian Region, National Academy of Agrarian Sciences, Ukraine