

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_94939
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Nutrient Management to increase Productivity and Profitability in Dual Purpose Oat (Avena sativa L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is good, supported by new research methods and complete data presented and supported by the new reference.</p> <p>There are several writing deficiencies that need to be fixed: Writing the manuscript is adjusted to the guidelines for the author.</p> <p>Abstract: The sentence structure in the abstract needs to be rearranged: Aims, study design, place and duration of study, methodology, results, and conclusion</p> <p>Introduction: Line 48-52..... Reference..??</p> <p>Material and methods: very good and clear</p> <p>Results and discussion Line157-159, 170-171, 180-183, 222-223: It is necessary to add a citation/reference as a comparison of the results of research that has been carried out for a more detailed discussion. Is the data in table 1 the same as the data in figure 1? If the data is the same, only one will appear</p> <p>Conclusion Sentences at the conclusion are rearranged to be more concise and clear</p> <p>Acknowledgments A brief acknowledgment section may be given after the conclusion section just before the references.</p> <p>References good and clear</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dwi Susanto
Department, University & Country	Mulawarman University, Indonesia