

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_94883
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Pest Management of Brown Plant Hopper (BPH), Nilaparvata lugens (Stal) in rice of Mancherial District, Telangana State
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1- TITLE WITH ABBREVIATION. 2- ABSTRACT DOES NOT FOLLOW THE GUIDELINES OF THE JOURNAL AND DOES NOT DEFINE OBJECTIVE, METHODOLOGY, RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS. 3- INTRODUCTION DOES NOT DEFINE JUSTIFICATION OR RESEARCH OBJECTIVES. 4- LITERATURE IN THE ARTICLE WITH AN ERROR FOR NOT FOLLOWING THE JOURNAL'S GUIDELINES. ALL QUOTES IN THE ARTICLE, EXCEPT ERRORS. 5- LOGO IN THE ITEMS BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES, AGAIN WITH ERRORS FOR NOT FOLLOWING THE JOURNAL'S GUIDELINES. 6- MATERIALS AND METHODS, MATERIALS OR METHODS IS NOT DEFINED AT ALL. 7- THE TABLES WERE NOT PLACED ACCORDING TO THE JOURNAL'S ORIENTATION; THE TABLES MUST BE IMPROVED BECAUSE THE NAMES ARE FRACTIONED IN THE PLACES, BOTH IN THE PDF AND IN THE VERSION TRANSFORMED TO WORDS. 8- THE CONCLUSIONS APPARENTLY WERE ACHIEVED IN THE RESEARCH. 9- THE BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES AT THE END HAVE BEEN PLACED IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER AND NOT AS THE JOURNAL GUIDES. DO NOT FOLLOW JOURNAL GUIDELINES WHEN WRITING THESE REFERENCES. 10- A REFERENCE OF THE ARTICLE (Sehgal et al., 2001,) DOES NOT APPEAR SOON IN THE LIST OF BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES OF THE ARTICLE, LIKE (FAO, 2004).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) There are no ethical issues in this manuscript</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anselmo Enrique Ferrer Hernandez
Department, University & Country	Havana University, Cuba