

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_93498
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Genetic Diversity using D2 Statistics among the Medium Maturity Pigeonpea [Cajanus cajan (L.) Millspaugh] Genotypes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	ranged from 22.53 to 30.27. Cluster III had the highest mean value for primary branches/plant (30.27) and secondary branches/plant (44.83) whereas,....(add comma) This is in conformity with Naing et al. [6] who reported that more contribution towards genetic diversity for days to maturity, pods/plant, days to 50% flowering and seed yield; The genotypes included in these clusters can be used as donors for a greater number of primary and secondary branches/plant in pigeonpea.	
Optional/General comments	the subject is still necessary and the development of the appropriate work	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leonardo Ornella
Department, University & Country	Argentina