

# **Influence of Farmyard Manure (FYM) and Zinc Fertilizer Application on Yield Parameters of Common Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Grown on Sandy-clay Loam of Borno State, Nigeria.**

## **ABSTRACT**

A Screen House experiment was carried out in University of Maiduguri to study the effects of Farmyard Manure (FYM) and Zinc fertilizer rates on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grain yield, 1000 grain weight, total dry matter weigh and HI on Sandy Clay-loam soil with location; Latitude (11. 923100 ° N and 11.919042 ° N) and Longitude (13.228927 ° E and 13.221758 °E) of Jere Local Government Area, Borno state, Nigeria. The study was set out in CRD with 3 replications. Composite 10 kg soil was weighed into 36 pots and treatments applied. Reyna 28 wheat seed obtained from Lake Chad Research Institute (LCRI) Maiduguri was used for the pot experiment. Six (6) wheat seedlings were allowed to grow to maturity from each pot. The pots were kept clean from weeds. Results obtained showed improved wheat yield with 5t/ha FYM + 5ppm Zn and 10t/ha FYM + 0 ppm Zn fertilizer rates leading the best yield for wheat grain and TDMW. 1000 garin weight and harvest index were also significantly increased with FYM and Zn fertilizer application. It was concluded that application of FYM and Zn fertilizer had increased wheat grain and TDMW yield as well as 1000 grain weight and harvest index.

**Keywords:** Zinc, Farmacyard manure, wheat, grain yield, Total Dry Matter Weight

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 Background of the Study**

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is cultivated on more land mass than all cereals, approximately 220.4 million hectares [1]. Wheat cultivated for its important grain and nutritive characteristics. It is utilized in bread, feed, confectionary production etc. Bread and bakery products obtained from wheat are considered worldwide an essential source of protein, dietary fiber, vitamins, micronutrients, and antioxidants [2].

The average production of wheat in Nigeria was about 81,904 metric tonnes while the average importation of wheat in the country was 2,193,566 metric tonnes within the same period [3]. Huge evidence exists showed that wheat is grown since 200BC [4]. However, Nigeria's wheat production remain low. More research is needed to tackle this low production. Grain yield increase and quality are of paramount importance to geometrically increasing population [5]. Structure of wheat grain partitioned viz; bran (14-16%), embryo (2-3 %) and endosperm (81-84%) [6].

Zinc (Zn) is among the 17 important nutrients elements required development and growth of wheat. Zn is essential in respiration and photosynthesis [7].

Zn deficiency occurred in humans and crops [8]. Deficiency of Zn on soils/plants is a world problem in deficiency [9].

Application of Zinc containing fertilizers could be a viable option to satisfy the crop demand for Zn and also to increase grain Zn contents ultimately taken up by human beings. Application of organic amendments can influence Zn availability to crops through modification of various adsorption desorption process, chelation of Zn, cation exchange capacity, pH, soil structure and microbial transformation and increase or decrease the Zn availability to plants. Farmyard manure is a decomposed dung/ urine from livestock plus their left over/faeces [10]. FYM is old manure in use by farmers for growing crops because of its early mineralization and availability of almost every nutrient required in plants [11].

[12] reported that farmyard manure determines many soil properties such as nutrients availability, aggregate stability, aeration, and favorable water uptake and retention characteristics. [13] Observed the use of Farmyard manure also increased the concentration of nitrogen and Zn. The application of 120 kg N + 10 tones FYM + 5 kilograms of Zn per hectare increased plant Zn uptake, stover and grain yields [14]. Sole Zn fertilizer application without organic amendment of Zn can render Zn to be fixed and is therefore not utilized by the crop [15]. [16] Observed the highest maize grain yield, Zn content and uptake with the combined application of five ton FYM and 16 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> every year. [17] Reported that wheat crop also enhanced Zn utilization with FYM application.

The incorporation of farmyard manure into arable soil is an age-old practice and universally accepted. This study was necessitated in order to test the application of FYM and Zinc fertilizer rates on yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.).

## 2.0 METHODOLOGY

The experiment was carried out in Screened House Facility, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Maiduguri in cool season of 2019 in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD). Composite 10 kg soil was weighed into 36 pots and treatments applied. Reyna 28 wheat seed obtained from Lake Chad Research Institute (LCRI) Maiduguri was used for the pot experiment. Six (6) wheat seedlings were allowed to grow to maturity from each pot. The pots were kept clean from weeds.

Two weeks before sowing, to appropriate pots, farmyard manure (FYM) was applied at 0, 5, 10 and 15 t/ha equivalent to 0, 25, 50, 75g FYM per 10 kg pot. To appropriate pot, zinc sulphate (ZnSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O) fertilizer was applied at three levels 0, 5 and 10 mg/kg equivalent to 0, 10 and 20kg Zn/ha as solution. NPK fertilizer at the rates of 50, 22.5 and 28.38 mg per kg N, P and K (100Kg N, 45Kg P and 57Kg K) ha<sup>-1</sup> as NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> and KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> were applied to all pots. Moisture in the pots were maintained thoroughly.

The sample soil was analysed for chemical and physical properties. Texture distribution was done following hydrometer method of [18] Soil pH and EC were determined with soil-water ratio of 1:2.5. OC determined by [19] dichromate oxidation procedure [20] method. Exchangeable cations determined by saturation using 1N NH<sub>4</sub>OAc (pH7.0) method [21]. Flame photometre method was used to determine Na and K. Ca and Mg were determined by titration method against EDTA using eriochrome black TEA indicator. Exchangeable acidity was done following the procedure of extraction with 1N KCl and measured according to the procedure of [22]. Total nitrogen was determined by micro-Kjeldahl digestion method of [23], while available phosphorus was determined using Bray II method as described by [24]. FYM was analysed following the method of plant analysis given by [25]. 0.2g of prepared cow dung FYM was weighed into a beaker and 2.5ml concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and HClO<sub>4</sub> acid and placed on a hot plate and heated at 180-200 °C until a clear digest is obtained. NPK and Zn was then determined from the digest. Diethylene triamine penta acetic acid (DTPA) was used to extract soil Zn [26] and then determined using VGP 210 atomic absorption spectrophotometre.

### 2.1 Total dry matter yield (g)

At physiological maturity, wheat was harvested when the colour of the crop turned into yellow. Wheat was cut at 2cm using clean stainless steel scissors from each pot, inserted into envelope, dried at 65 °C in an oven for 24 hours. Electrical balance M10001 model was used to weigh and recorded as total dry matter yield (g/pot).

### 2.2 Grain yield (g)

Grains were separated manually from each treatment and weighed using same electrical balance and recorded (g/pot).

### 2.3 1000 grain weight (g)

After harvest, 100 grains were collected from each treatment and weighed using laboratory electrical balance. This was multiplied by 10 to obtain 1000-grain weight in grams.

### 2.4 Harvest index (%)

The harvest index was calculated by dividing the total grain weight by the total biomass for each treatment and expressed in percentage.

### 2.5 Statistical Analysis

Data obtained from the pot experiment were statistically analyzed according to the technique of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the Completely Randomized Design (CRD) using Statistix computer software package. The treatment means were compared using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 1 and 5 % level of significance as described by [27].

## 3.0 RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Effect of FYM and Zn Fertilizer Rates on Wheat Total Dry Matter Weight, Grain Yield, 1000 grain weight and harvest index

The result in Table 1 shows effect of FYM and Zn fertilizer rates on wheat total dry matter weight, grain yield, 1000 grain weight and harvest index.

Total dry matter weight (TDMW) had shown significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) difference with the varying rates of FYM and Zn fertilizers. It ranged from 39.00g (control) to 55.50g (10t /ha FYM + 0 ppm Zn fertilizer rates).

Wheat grain yield differed significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) between the treatments. The highest wheat grain yield (23.40g) was observed at 5t/ha FYM + 5ppm Zn and the lowest (16.07g) at 10 t/ha FYM + 5 ppm Zn rates. Wheat straw yield also varied significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) with FYM and Zn fertilizer rates. The highest (36.47g) straw yield was given by 10 t/ha FYM + 0 ppm Zn rates and the lowest (23.90 g) by the control.

Significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) difference was observed between 1000 wheat grain weight in control and the following treatments: 0 t/ha FYM + 10 ppm Zn, 5 t/ha FYM + 5 ppm Zn, 10 t/ha FYM + 5 ppm Zn, 10 t/ha FYM + 10 ppm Zn and 15 t/ha FYM + 0 ppm Zn rates with values of 35.67, 36.67, 35.33, 36.33 and 35.00 g respectively. The highest (36.67 g) mean wheat 1000 grain weight was observed with application of 5 t/ha FYM + 5 ppm Zn and the lowest (31.67 g) with 5 t /ha FYM + 0 ppm Zn.

Harvest index (HI) of wheat significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) differed between control and some treatments. Higher HI was observed with 10 t /ha FYM + 10 ppm Zn and the lowest with 10 t /ha FYM + 0 ppm Zn rates with values of 44.67 and 35.67 % respectively.

Table 1: Effect of FYM and Zn fertilizer rates on wheat total dry matter weight, grain yield, 1000 grain weight and harvest index

Treatment	TDMW (g)	Grain yield	1000 grain	Harvest index
-----------	----------	-------------	------------	---------------

		(g)	weight (g)	(%)
Control	39.00 <sup>c</sup>	16.60 <sup>g</sup>	32.00 <sup>e</sup>	42.33 <sup>ab</sup>
0t/ha FYM + 5 ppm Zn	49.50 <sup>bc</sup>	19.40 <sup>d-f</sup>	33.00 <sup>cde</sup>	39.67 <sup>bcd</sup>
0t/ha FYM + 10 ppm Zn	50.67 <sup>b</sup>	21.10 <sup>bc</sup>	35.67 <sup>abc</sup>	41.33 <sup>abc</sup>
5t/ha FYM + 0ppm Zn	45.00 <sup>d</sup>	18.10 <sup>f</sup>	31.67 <sup>e</sup>	40.33 <sup>bc</sup>
5t/ha FYM + 5 ppm Zn	53.00 <sup>ab</sup>	23.40 <sup>a</sup>	36.67 <sup>a</sup>	44.33 <sup>a</sup>
5t/ha FYM + 10 ppm Zn	45.67 <sup>cd</sup>	19.45 <sup>d-f</sup>	32.67 <sup>de</sup>	42.67 <sup>ab</sup>
10t/ha FYM + 0 ppm Zn	55.00 <sup>a</sup>	19.67 <sup>c-e</sup>	32.00 <sup>e</sup>	35.67 <sup>e</sup>
10t/ha FYM + 5 ppm Zn	44.33 <sup>d</sup>	16.07 <sup>g</sup>	35.33 <sup>abcd</sup>	36.33 <sup>de</sup>
10t/ha FYM + 10 ppm Zn	46.67 <sup>cd</sup>	20.75 <sup>cd</sup>	36.33 <sup>ab</sup>	44.67 <sup>a</sup>
15t/ha FYM + 0 ppm Zn	50.67 <sup>b</sup>	19.20 <sup>ef</sup>	35.00 <sup>abcd</sup>	38.00 <sup>cde</sup>
15t/ha FYM + 5 ppm Zn	52.00 <sup>ab</sup>	22.30 <sup>ab</sup>	33.67 <sup>bcde</sup>	43.00 <sup>ab</sup>
15t/ha FYM + 10 ppm Zn	46.67 <sup>cd</sup>	20.60 <sup>cde</sup>	33.67 <sup>bcde</sup>	44.00 <sup>a</sup>
SE±	1.3463	0.4931	0.9954	1.2360

Means following same letter(s) within a column are not significantly different at 0.05 level of probability according to DMRT

### 3.2 DISCUSSION

#### 3.2.1 Effect of FYM and Zn Fertilizer Rates on Yield Components of Wheat

Wheat grain yield were significantly affected ( $P < 0.05$ ) with different treatments of both FYM and Zn rates. [28] found similar results who stated that application of zinc fertilizer increased wheat grain yield, dry matter and straw yield significantly in comparison to zero treatment. Attainment of higher yield is the ultimate goal of fertilizer use in crop production [29]. Ebaid [30] also reported significant increase in wheat grain when the rate of FYM increased from 20 to 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup>. 5t/ha FYM + 5ppm Zn and 10t/ha FYM + 0 ppm Zn fertilizer rates gave the best yield for wheat grain and TDMW. This fertilizer rates not only improved wheat grain yield but could also provide long term effects on nutrients availability. [31] found that increasing levels of zinc increased wheat and maize yields. Both FYM and Zn fertilizers increased wheat grain yield and TDMW as similar to findings of Kanchikerimath and Singh [32] who reported that organic manure supplemented with mineral fertilizers enhanced the crop yields. Application of FYM and Zn fertilizer rates have shown significant results on 1000 wheat grain weight and HI. Higher 1000 grain weight was recorded with the combination of 5t/ha FYM + 5ppm Zn fertilizer rates. Findings by [33] observed that considerable increase in 1000-grain weight of wheat by addition of Zn fertilizer and same was found by [34] and [33]. Similar findings were also reported by [35] who stated that application of Zn had a significant effect on the growth/yield of wheat. FYM and Zn fertilizer rates significantly improved harvest index of wheat grain. 10 t/ha FYM + 10 ppm Zn was found to be optimally higher among the treatments. Similar result was obtained by [36] with FYM and Zn fertilizer effects on wheat crop.

### 3.3 CONCLUSION

FYM and Zn fertilizers had positively contributed to wheat grain. Application of 5t/ha FYM + 5ppm Zn and 10t/ha FYM + 0 ppm Zn fertilizer rates gave the best yield for wheat grain and TDMW while 10t/ha FYM + 10ppm Zn gave the highest HI result.

### REFERENCE

1. Food and Agriculture Organization, Statistics Division (FAOSTAT). (2014). Available at [faostat.fao.org](http://faostat.fao.org)
2. Cappelli, E., Bettaccini, L. and Cini, E. “The kneading process: a systematic review of the effects on dough rheology and resulting bread characteristics, including improvement strategies,” *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 2020. vol. 104, pp. 91–101.
3. Food and Agricultural Organization Statistics. (FAO). Retrieved from <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC> 2015.
4. Olabanji, O. G., Omeje, M. U., Mohammed, I., Ndahi, W. B. and Nkema, I. Wheat. In *Cereal Crops of Nigeria: Principles of Production and Utilization*, xxii, 337 (Idem, N.U.A. and F.A. Showemimo (edited) 2007, pp 230–249
5. Curtis, T. and Halford, N. G. Food security: the challenge of increasing wheat yield and the importance of not compromising food safety. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 2014, 164, 354–372. 10.1111/aab.12108
6. Mousia, Z., Edherly, S., Pandiella, S. S. and Webb, C. Effect of wheat pearling on flour quality. *Food Res Int.* 2004, 37:449–59. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2004.02.012
7. Fu, X. Z., Xing, F., Cao, L., Chun, C. P., Ling, L. L., Jiang, C. L. and Peng, L. Z. Effects of foliar application of various zinc fertilizers with organo-silicone on correcting citrus zinc deficiency. *Hort Science*, 2016. 51, 422-426.
8. Welch, R. M. and Graham, R. D. Breeding for micronutrients in staple food crops from a human nutrition perspective. *J Exp Bot*, 2004, 55:353–364
9. Alloway, B. J. Zinc in soils and crop nutrition. IZA Publications. International Zinc Association, Brussels, 2004, pp 1–116
10. Reddy, S. R. *Principles of Agronomy*. Kalyani Publisher, Ludhiana. Available at [https://agritech.tnau.ac.in/org\\_farm/orgfarm\\_manure.html](https://agritech.tnau.ac.in/org_farm/orgfarm_manure.html) 2005
11. Parshottam, K., Sinha, T., Arence, T., Ashish, M. and Dileshwar, P. S. Effect of Different Levels of Zinc and Farm Yard Manure on the Physico-chemical Properties of Soil and Yield of Green Gram (*Vigna radiate* L.) *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. and App. Sci.* 2018, 7(2):153-9
12. Sial, R.A., Chuadhary, E.H. Hussain, S. and Naveed, M. Effect of organic manures and chemical fertilizers on grain yield of maize in rain fed area. *Soil and Environ.* 2007, 26(2): 130-133.
13. Gondek, K. and Mazur, B. F. The effect of mineral treatment and the amendments by organic and organomineral fertilizers on crop yield, plant nutrient status and soil properties. *Plant Soil Environ*, 2005, 51: 34-45.
14. Karki, T. B., Kumar, A. and Gautam, R. C. Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, content and uptake of nutrient and soil fertility status in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 2005, 75: 682-685
15. Rengel, Z. Availability of Mn, Zn and Fe in the rhizosphere. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 2015, 15, 397-409.
16. Petal, B. T., Petal, J. J. Petal, V. B. and Petal, A. M. Zinc management in presence and absences of FYM in kharif maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Crop Res. Hisar*, 2008, 35: 186-189.
17. Rupa, T. R., Rao, C. S., Rao, A. S. and Singh, M. Effect of FYM and phosphorus on zinc transformation and phytoavailability in Alfisols of India. *Bio resour. Technol.*, 2008, 87: 279-288.
18. Bouyoucos, G. J. Hydrometer method, improved for making particle size analysis of soil. *Agronomy Journal*. 1962, 54: 564-565.

19. Walkley, A. and Black, I. A. An examination of the Degfjaref method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method, *Soil Sci.* 1932, 37:9-38.
20. Nelson, D. W. and Sommers, L. E. Total carbon, organic carbon and organic matter: In: A. L. Page, Miller, R. H. and Keeney, D. R.) *Methods of Soil Analysis*. Part 2 Chemical and Microbiological Properties, pp: 1982, 539-579.
21. Chapman, H. D. Cation Exchange capacity In C. A (ed) *Methods of Soil Analysis Agron. Amer. Soc Agron.* Madison Wis pp., 1965, 891- 901
22. McLean, E. D. Soil pH and lime requirement. In: Page, A.L.(ed) *Methods of soil analysis part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties (2<sup>nd</sup>ed)*. *Agronomy monograph*, Vol. 9. ASA-SSSA, Madison Wisconsin, USA. *Advances in BioResearch*, Vol.1 (1): 189-198.in maize. *J. Environ Qual.*, 1982, 30: 78-84
23. Jackson, M. L. *Soil Chemical Analysis* New York: *Prentice Hall Inc.* 1962, 498pp
24. Olsen, S.R. and Sommers, L.E. Phosphorus. In: Page, A.L., Ed., *Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2 Chemical and Microbiological Properties*, American Society of Agronomy, Soil Science Society of America, Madison, 1982, 403-430.
25. Marr, I. L. and Cresser, M. S. *Environmental Chemical Analysis*. Blackie and Son Ltd. Press, London: 1983, 258 pp.
26. Lindsay, W. L. and Norvel, W. A. Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 1978, 42,421-428
27. Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, John Wiley and Sons. New York, USA. 1984, 680pp.
28. Asad, A. and Rafique, R. "Effect of zinc, copper, iron, manganese and boron on the yield and yield components of wheat crop in Tehsil Peshawar," *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 2000, 3(10):1615–1620.
29. Daramola, D. S., Nwangburuka, L. and Dada, S. O. Synergism of combined inorganic and organic starter Nitrogen on cowpea growth and nodulation. *Proceedings of the 29<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference of the Soil Science Society of Nigeria*, December 6-10, 2004 pages 192-197.
30. Ebaid, R. A. Rice productivity as affected by integration of organic and inorganic nitrogen fertilizers. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.* 2000, 25: 7357- 7365.
31. Singh, O., Kumar, S. and Awanish. C. Productivity and profitability of rice as influenced by high fertility levels and their residual effect on wheat. *Ind. J. Agron*, 2012, 57(2): 143-147.
32. Kanchikerimath, M. and D. Singh. Soil organic matter and biological properties after 26 years of maize–wheat–cowpea cropping as affected by manure and fertilization in a Cambisols in semiarid region of India. *Agri. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 2001, 86: 155-162.
33. Khan, R., Gurmani, A. R., Khan, M. S. and Gurmani, A. H. Residual, direct and cumulative effect of zinc application on wheat and rice yield under rice wheat system. *Soil and Environ.*, 2009, 28: 24-28.
34. Butt, A. H., Khan, M. A. and Yousaf, M. Response of wheat to soil application of zinc and boron under rain fed conditions. *Pak. J. Soil Sci.*, 1995, 10: 66- 68.
35. Abbas, G., Khan, M. Q., Jamil, M., Tahir, M. and Hussain, F. "Nutrient uptake, growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) as affected by zinc application rates," *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 2009, 11:389–396.

36. Firdous, S., Agarwal, B. K. and Chhabra, V. Zinc-fertilization effects on wheat yield and yield components. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 2018, 7 (2): 3497-3499.

UNDER PEER REVIEW