

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_95016
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Antibiogram profile of carbapenem-resistant Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae among patients with Urinary Tract Infection in Abakaliki
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. The study is very much essential in view of indiscriminate use of medicines which may reflect on health as well as economy Yes Yes Yes Yes Too many references quoted. They may be limited to 35-40 instead of 70	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good and few suggested comments may be taken up	
Optional/General comments	Statistical analysis carried out may be indicated in the tables by providing superscript * and below each table the details should be provided	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Anand Kumar
Department, University & Country	Sri Venkateswara Veterinary University, India