

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_95376
Title of the Manuscript:	Fentanyl patch intoxication in an 8-year-old child: A case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijmpcr.com/index.php/IJMPCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript describes a case of fentanyl poisoning in an 8-year-old boy and his treatment with naloxone in the emergency department of the Rabat hospital. The title of the manuscript and the abstract are appropriate. The general structure of the manuscript is also reasonable. However, there are several aspects that should be improved. For example:</p> <p>1. The name of the child should not be given for ethical reasons (Child E.A (ELGARI AHMED)). For the same reasons Image 2 should be ignored as third parties could identify the child who is the research subject in this study, unless the parent's informed consent and the child's assent are obtained.</p> <p>2. The title of the "Image 1" should be modified since the patch itself is not shown. Instead of "Image" Figure could be used.</p> <p>3. It is suggested to avoid the use of first person for example in the following situations: "our hospital", "our referral hospital", "our case" (repeated at least three times), "our country".</p> <p>4. The references section is the weakest: 1. They are not written in a defined format. 2. If you want to review any of the references, most do not indicate whether it is a magazine, book, seminar or thesis and the date of publication is not specified. 3. There are several recent references that could be incorporated.</p> <p>5. The phrase "A blood toxicology test showed high opioid levels" is very general. It should be indicated to which test it refers in particular and the values of the specific opioid levels analyzed also.</p> <p>7. Some units are missing and formulas are not understood. In example: GDS: Ph = 7.38 PaO₂=180 under 50% FIO₂ PaCO₂= 42 HCO₃=20 Lactates= 1.6"</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>In the description of the child's treatment, many abbreviations not known to the common reader are used and their meaning could be helpful, mainly because some are misspelled, and there is no proper punctuation to separate the enumeration of variables. In several parts the numbers are not separated from the unit, for example 2mg. On the other hand the charges of the ions should be as superscripts; instead subscripts should be used for the number of equal atoms in a formula</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There are several words written with capital letters unnecessarily and some written with lower case being proper names. Example: the name of the city The word conclusion should be in bold.</p>	

PART 2:

Review Form 1.7

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	María Leonor Contreras Fuentes
Department, University & Country	University of Santiago de Chile, USACH, Chile