

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_95394
Title of the Manuscript:	Virulence spectrum among Rhizoctonia solani f. sp. sasakii isolates from multi-geographical locations of India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The article has significant impact on the agricultural production, i.e., maize production in India through a field experiment of a type soil borne fungal pathogen. Study results could help farmers find out useful solutions to reduce the negative impacts of such plant-related diseases on maize production and yield.</p> <p>2. Yes. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. Yes. The abstract is comprehensive. It could be better to add few sentences stating the positiveness of this study, e.g., implications for producers.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Yes.</p> <p>It would be helpful for add one or two sentences summarizing the main goal of this study at the end of the introduction section. This will help readers to better understand the main idea of this article.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Minfeng Tang
Department, University & Country	University of Calgary, Canada