

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_95367
Title of the Manuscript:	Temperature variation in Kerala:Present and future
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes- The paper on climate change and its implications is very important No- I suggest 'Temperature variation in Kerala, Southwestern India: Present and future forecast' Yes, the abstract is comprehensive The section , subsections and the structure are not properly arranged Yes No, references are too scanty and old as per the subject matter	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The paper still needs some English language editor touching	
Optional/General comments	1. Figures are not properly cleared 2. Please check this statement in the abstract section ' While under RCP 8.5 an increase in temperature the temperature is expected to increase by 0.05°C per year and 0.06 °C per year by mid and end century respectively. 3. Sections number are missing 4. Figures are poorly arranged and not clear 5. References are too scanty and old	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. S Ojo
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Technology, Nigeria