

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_95335</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Constraints perceived by the Rural youth in adoption of ARYA interventions in Telangana State</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The introduction chapter clearly emphasised the importance of study and rationality in selection of the problem is clearly spelt out.</p> <p>The study was planned with clear cut and well defined objective framed in the survey to come out with useful findings. The experiment has been meticulously planned and conducted with appropriate statistical design to fulfil the scientific norms and to bring out exact answer to the objective. The materials used and methods employed has been clearly indicated and all are with scientific base.</p> <p>The data on various aspects has been presented systemically after subjecting to appropriate statistical scrutiny to adoption levels evaluated. The experimental results were presented in well organised way. The results are summarised properly and valid conclusions are drawn.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	Need to follow the scientific format/units	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>It is a bitter truth that, in a farming economy like India, rural youth are looking down at agriculture with disdain and moving to towns, cities and urban centers in search of better jobs. But, provide employment to rural youth and to control their migration from rural areas to towns and cities; ARYA programme assures employment by providing training to youth in agriculture and its allied sector; which indirectly attains the goal of food security. Though, ARYA programme has numerous advantages, there are some constraints in adoption of ARYA interventions among the rural youth. Author rightly findout the constraints, <b>lack of knowledge about equipment's/machinery for enterprise</b>, high costs of inputs and difficulty in marketing the products were the major technical, input and marketing problems encountered by the youth in adoption of ARYA interventions.</p> <p>They have concluded that ARYA programme not only provides employment to rural youth, but it also assures food security of our Nation. Hence, it become important to eliminate the constraints and the necessary facilities like provision of inputs, establishing proper marketing facilities and providing training on new machineries will help the beneficiaries to overcome the constraints.</p> <p>Article is recommended for publication</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shyamrao Kulkarni</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, India</b>