

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_95308
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Harvesting Time and Phosphate Biofertilizers on the Nutritive Value of Two Maize varieties.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, It is important for scientific community, but there is no methodology like preparation of phosphorous biofertilizers and ambiguity of results</p> <p>Change the title: Effect of inorganic phosphorous and phosphate biofertilizers of maize varieties</p> <p>Abstract should be changed with comprehensive</p> <p>Yes, appropriate</p> <p>Scientifically it is ok</p> <p>References are sufficient. Some years like 1998 are without brackets. And there is no uniform manner. Please correct it.</p> <p>1. Please add methodology for the preparation of phosphate biofertilizers, it's a current issue and it is useful to farmers and society</p> <p>2. Bacillus and mycorrhiza are the genus and what about the species, which species you have studied in your research work.</p> <p>3. Is there any preliminary and biochemical studies on identification of bacteria and mycorrhiza</p> <p>4. On which basis you have added bacteria plus mycorrhiza, can you suggest suitable media for that</p> <p>5. Early time of harvest secured the highest value of CP, OM, EE and DMD, what is the crop duration and when you harvested, clearly mention. There is no proper methodology.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, I agree	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Seed sowing date and harvest dates please mention and general harvesting in maize crops and your research importance also include with reference to your work	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G. Kranthi Kumar
Department, University & Country	Maris Stella College, India