

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_95298
Title of the Manuscript:	"Growth and Flowering of Asiatic Lily (<i>Lilium x asiatica</i>) cv. Litouwen as Affected by GA ₃ and NAA Under Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse Conditions of Prayagraj"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	yes Not quite. Effect of GA ₃ and NAA on Growth and Flowering of Asiatic Lily (<i>Lilium asiatica</i>) cv. Litouwen Under Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse Conditions of Prayagraj OR The effect of different concentrations of GA ₃ and NAA on Growth and Flowering of Asiatic Lily (<i>Lilium asiatica</i>) cv. Litouwen Under Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse Conditions of Prayagraj No Needs some little modification yes Not sufficient and not recent	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	The article should be upgraded to recent findings in the area of research done.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Beatrice Onome Emoghene
Department, University & Country	Nigerian Institute for Oil Palm Research, Nigeria