

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_95297
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers' participatory on-farm trials for dissemination of brinjal variety-HZKB 1 under organic cultivation in Uttarakhand and Jammu & Kashmir
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community, because the paper generated extra information about crop variety development approach with the direct involvement of ordinary clients (in this case farmers) 2. I agree with the title of the manuscript; however, if the author is voluntary, little modification can be made: That is; Farmers' participatory on-farm trials for dissemination of elite brinjal variety-HZKB 1 under organic cultivation in Uttarakhand and Jammu & Kashmir 3. Yes, abstract part of the manuscript is comprehensive; because it describes and incorporates, the rationale behind that the author is trying to resolve the gap between technology users and technology accessibility. To conduct the trials under on-farm condition, the author has set specific objectives, treatment setup and randomization, and selecting appropriate agricultural field design (Randomized Complete Block Design), collection and analysis of the data followed by interpretation and finally recommend appropriate conclusion. 4. Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate for this paper, because we do not have enforced to present any data or information only on main part of the manuscript, we can also present the relevant information or data on sub-sections or structures. 5. Yes, the manuscript sounds more to scientific findings, because it has passed and followed almost field experimental works even with the direct involvement of small scale farmers. 6. It doesn't matter the number of references for this particular manuscript are sufficient and most of them are latest.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Because we are part of the global environment, for scholarly communications ENGLISH is preferred (actually it is my personal view); however, if the author thinks extra language(s) it doesn't matter he/she can proceed as he/she wanted.	
Optional/General comments	May be after this routine field works, the author has to set plans on future technology transfer means via extension approach like PED (Pre-extension demonstration) and LSD (Large scale Demonstration) works at regional or national levels.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zeru Yimer Kebede
Department, University & Country	Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Ethiopia