

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_95198
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Sowing Media and Variety on Growth Parameters and Disease Incidence of Early Cauliflower (<i>Brassica oleracea</i> var. <i>botrytis</i>) Seedlings
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It provides insights into different growing media and their effect on the growth parameters. This article discussed about the disease's incidence of Cauliflower crop during nursery conditions which might be helpful to farmers to raise healthy seedlings of the crop. <p>2. The title of the article is suitable, very well written and justifying the contents of the whole manuscript.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive and include everything which is required in the abstract.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate and to the point.</p> <p>5. Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct and fulfil all the requirements of the good scientific publication.</p> <p>6. There is need to add more references in the discussion part to support the study.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL SUGGESTIONS/COMMENTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add map of the study area. Add in brief about the climate and soil of the study area Add more references in the discussion part. Follow all the comments mention in the manuscript. Cross check the references in the text and add all the references cited in the text as per the format. Cross check the references with the above text. Follow the same reference format throught the text. Kindly follow all the comments given in the manuscript. Add all the comments in your manuscript. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications but it will be good if language of the manuscript is improved. Further suggestions are to check all the spellings and use comma's, space etc. properly in the whole document.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Title is written very well, impressive and informative. Abstract is also qualitative and directly shows the research importance. Introduction is comprehensively written. Whole manuscript is very knowledgeable. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kartikey Sahil
Department, University & Country	College of Forestry, India