

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_95188</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Review of User Vulnerabilities Associated with Shared Sanitation in Sub-Saharan Africa</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. <b>The manuscript for the scientific community is important because it addresses an often overlooked aspect of health and safety. The spread of diseases in these regions is a serious problem reducing quality of life and life expectancy and this research could be instrumental in calling attention for drastic improvements with relatively inexpensive initial investment.</b> 2. <b>Suggested title: A Literature Review of User Vulnerabilities Associated with Shared Sanitation Practices in Selected Countries in Sub-Saharan Africa</b> 3. <b>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive</b> 4. <b>The subsections and structure are appropriate</b> 5. <b>The manuscript indicates strong scientific rigor and is well-cited.</b> 6. <b>The references are complete and add depth to this study</b> 7. <b>Please consider the suggested grammatical changes.</b> 8. <b>When "aged" persons are mentioned, does this refer to the elderly?</b> 9. <b>On Page 8, is the word "rota" a misspelling? It is not clear what this means.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	1. Yes, the quality of English is sufficient with minor revisions.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	A very important study for quality of life and total worker health.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Jaime Thissen</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bemidji State University, United States</b>