

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_95161
Title of the Manuscript:	Multiple Cocoon Crops module and its impact on Stabilization of Autumn Crop under Subtropical condition of North West India
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Man adores the natural fabrics from time immemorial. Silk had a prestigious place in the culture and commerce of India in the Pre-Vedic age. Silk term speaks about mulberry silk only. It is soft smooth, lustrous and holds a prestigious place among textile fibers and known as "Queen of Textile". On this line, the manuscript deserve significance. Title of the manuscript is suitable. The abstract of manuscript is comprehensive.</p> <p>Subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Manuscript is scientifically correct. References are very less in number. Additional references are going to serve to orchestrate the progression of manuscript to achieve the "Novel Status". It is recommendation to add references. For such a novel-research, minimum twenty-five references should be listed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes. The quality of the text in manuscript is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vitthalrao B. Khyade
Department, University & Country	Sharadabai Pawar Mahila Mahavidyalaya, India