

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_95158</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>INTERCROPPING OF PULSES AND OILSEEDS WITH SISAL (Agave sisalana) IN NORTH COASTAL ZONE OF ANDHRA PRADESH</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1- The manuscript is of great importance to the science and community, as it reflects the profitability of Saisal fiber when intercropped with pulses and oilseeds. 2- The title is clear and indicative and represents the research content 3- The abstract is comprehensive and informative and reflect the content of the thesis. To be more informative, the layout of the experimental design and the statistical package used to analyze the data should be included; the level of significance should be noted if the variation between parameters is significant. Some punctuations and article usage is incorrect 4- The subsection and structure of manuscript is appropriate, it comes in a conformity of Scientific writing, the main objectives and English language are sound 5- The manuscript is scientifically correct and contributes significantly to the knowledge. 6- The cited references were written in accordance with the scientific format, they were appropriate and up-to-date.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	1- The article is written in a sound English language, some corrections concerning the usage of articles (a , the) are noted in the text. 2- Other comments and some amendments were also pointed out in the text 3- The article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1- The research problem is well presented, clearly stated in the text. it indicates the benefits of intercropping with pulsed and oilseeds on growth, fiber yield and economic returns. 2- The results and discussion section is very good and informative	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Elsamani Omer Amasaib</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Khartoum, Sudan</b>