Isolation and *invitro*studies of native isolates of *Bacillus subtilis*on maize stalk rot incited by*Fusariumverticillioides*

ABSTRACT

Fusarium wilt of maize is a widely distributed and the most destructive disease caused by *Fusarium verticillioides*. The main objective of this experiment is toidentify the effective *Bacillus* isolates against *Fusarium verticillioides* under *in vitro* condition.A total of 10 *Bacillus* spp. isolates were isolated from rhizhosphere region of maize plants in different locations of Telangana state and tested for antagonistic activity at department of plant pathology, Maize Research Centre, Agricultural Research Institute, Rajendranagar.All the isolates of *Bacillus* spp. were usedfor determining theirbio efficacy against Fusarium *verticillioides*. All the isolates not shown similar bio efficacy and differerd in their antagonistic activity against *F. verticillioides* mycelial growth. Among them the isolates B-ISO-3 and B-ISO-2 were found to record significantly higher percent reduction of mycelial growth 63.3 and 62.8 % respectively, followed by B-ISO-9 which recorded 61.3%t reduction of mycelial growth over control. The lowest percent reduction of mycelial growth was recorded with the isolate B-IS0-8 (34.2 %) over control.

Keywords:,Bacillus, Biological control, Fusariumverticillioides, Maize stalk rots

INTRODUCTION

"Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the most versatile emerging crops having wider adaptability under varied agro-climatic conditions. It is cultivated in tropics, sub tropics and temperate regions under irrigated and rainfedconditions.Globally, maize is known as queen of cereals, because it has the highest genetic yield potential among the cereals" (Refwww.Farmer.gov.in-2022). "In most of the developing countries maize is consumed directly as food. Maize occupies an important place as a source of human food (26%), animal feed (13%), poultry feed (47%), industrial products (14%) and seed (3%)" (www.ICAR..IIMR.Ludhiana-2022). "In India,Maize is cultivated in an area of 9380.07thousand hectares with an annual production of 28752.8 thousand tons in India. In Telangana State, the crop is grown in almost all districts in an area of 630 thousand hectares with a production of 2555.64 thousand tonns and productivity of 4057Kgss/hectare" (INDIANSTAT, 2017-2018). The other important maize growing states in India are Karnataka, Bihar, Rajastan, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Utter Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, and Himachal Pradesh..

"Maize production is affected by various biotic and abiotic stresses. Among the biotic stresses, fungal diseases are one of the major constraints in realizing the potential yields of this crop. Of the fungal diseases, post flowering stalk rots poses a major threat to the productivity of maize crop. Post flowering stalk rot is complex disease which occurs at post flowering stage of the crop in both *kharif* and *Rabi* season. In India, eight fungi and three bacteria were reported to cause stalk rots (Raju and Lal, 1976). Among all, Fusarium stalk rot (*Fusariumverticillioides),* Charcoal rot *(Macrophominaphaseolina),* Late wilt *(Cephalosporiummaydis)* are more prevalent and destructive in India" (Khokhar*et al*., 2014). "Among the stalk rots, Fusarium stalk rot caused by *F. verticillioides*was first reported from USA by Pammel (1914) as a serious

root and stalk disease". Later, Valleau (1920) reported that "*F. moniliforme*was a primary cause of root and stalk rot of maize. In India, the disease was first reported from Mount Abu, Rajasthan (Arya and Jain, 1964) and prevalent in most of the maize growing areas of country where water stress occurs at the flowering stage of the crop". "The disease becomes apparent when crop enters senescence phase and severity increases during grain filling stage. The rotting extends from the infected roots to the stalk and causes premature drying, stalk breakage and ear dropping and thus resulting in reduction of maize yields" (Colbert *et al*.,1987). "The disease causes internal decay and discoloration of stalk tissues, directly reducing yield by blocking translocation of water and nutrients, thus resulting in death and lodging of the plant"(Dodd,1980).The fungus survives on crop residues in the soil.

"Use of chemicals is expensive and the heavy usage of chemicals is hazardous to the environment.Among alternatives being studied, use of *Bacillus* strain has shown significant potential" (Perez-Garcea*et al*., 2011). "It is generally recognized that *Bacillus* species show antagonistic potential against fungal phytopathogens by antibiosis, competition or exploitation. Successful control of Fusarium species has been achieved by various *Bacillus subtilis* isolates" (Cao *et al*., 2011). "Some isolates were found less effective against Fusarium species in comparison with others *Bacillus* species due to mode of action exerted or the type of antifungal metabolite produced. Therefore, many studies have been conducted to find the best *Bacillus* strain or by inducing secondary metabolites production" (Saini, 2012; Ola *et al*., 2013). "Therefore, isolation and screening of native strain is suggested" (Calvo*et al*., 2010). Foreign strain or commercial inoculants has been shown less effective in other countries due to different edaphic or climatic conditions..Ji*et al*. (2013) isolated bacterial isolate CNU114001 which was identified as *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*exhibited 70% mycelial growth reduction against *C. orbiculare, F. oxysporum, P. digitatum*and*P. grisea.* Figueroa-Lopez *et al.* (2016) reported "11,520 bacterial isolates, exhibited 95 percent survival efficiency out of which 622 isolates showing 53–99 percent *F. verticilliodes* growth inhibition". "An analysis of the plant-growth promoting (PGP) properties and biocontrol attributes of four bacilli (*Bacillus simplex* 30N-5, *B. simplex* 11, *B. simplex* 237 and *B. subtilis*30 VD-1)" was studied by Khan *et al.* (2018). Among these *B. subtilis* 30VD-1 (30VD-1) showed most effective antagonism against *Fusarium* spp. under *in vitro* conditions.The aims of this work were to determine the ability of *Bacillus* species to inhibit*Fusariumverticillioides* and to evaluate the ability of the best strain bacterium *in vitro*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolation of biocontrol agents from rhizosphere

Serial dilution method

Antagonistic bacteria were isolated fromthe rhizosphere soil collected from different crops grow in various places of Telangana. The plants were pulled out gently with intact roots and the excess soil adhering on roots was removed gently. Ten grams of rhizosphere soil collected from different crops was transferred to 250 mi Erlenmeyer flask containing 100 mlof sterile distilled water separately. After thorough shaking, the antagonist present in the suspension was isolated by serial dilution plate method. From the final dilutions of 10^{-3} ,10^{-4}, 10^{-5} and 10^{-6}. One ml of each aliquot was pipetted out poured into sterilized petri dishes containing Nutrient agar medium and they were gently rotated clockwise and anticlockwise for uniform distribution and

incubated at room temperature (28 ± 2ºC) for 24 hours. Colonies with characteristics of *Bacillus* spp. were isolated individually and purified by streak plate method (Rangaswami, 1993) on Nutrient agar medium. The pure cultures of the antagonists were maintained on respective agar slants of 4ºC respectively

Identification of bacterial colonies

"Pure cultures of bacteria were streaked on nutrient agar plates separately and incubated at room temperature until single colony developed. Individual colony was examined for Gram staining and endospore staining". (Pranaya et. al., 2020)

Gram staining

"A drop of sterile distilled water was placed in the center of glass slide. A loopful of inoculum from young culture was taken, mixed with water and placed in the center of the slide. The suspension was spread out on slide using the tip of inoculation loop to make a thin smear. The smear was dried in air and fixed through mild heating by passing the slide 3 to 4 times over the flame. The smear was then flooded with crystal violet solution for 1 min and washed gently with flow of tap water. Then the slide was flooded with iodine solution. After incubation at room temperature for 1 min, iodine solution was drained out followed by washing with 95% decolorizer. After that, it was washed with water within 15 to 30 seconds and blotted carefully. The smear was incubated with safranin solution for 1 minute. The slide was washed gently in flow of tap water and air dried. The slide was examined under microscope at 100X power with oil immersion and data was recorded for different isolates". (Pranaya et. al., 2020)

Endospore staining

"A bacterial smear was taken on a clean slide, air dried and gently heat fixed. Then the slides were flooded with malachite green, for 3-5min using the flame of burner. The slides were washed gently in flow of tap water to remove dye. After cooling the slides, safranin was drained on to the slide. The slide was washed gently in flow of tap water and air dried. The slides were observed at 100X with oil immersion and data was recorded for different isolates". (Pranaya et. al., 2020)

Screening of bacterial antagonists

The bacterial isolates of *Bacillus subtilis* were tested for their inhibitory effect on growth of *Fusariumverticillioides* by following the dual culture technique (Landa*et al.*, 1997). One loop of 48 hrs old culture of bacterial isolates were streaked one cm from the outer side of 9 cm PDA plates and a mycelial disc (8 mm diameter) of five day old culture of *Fusariumverticillioides* was placed at the centre of plates, 2.5 cm apart from the bacteria. The petridishes inoculated with pathogen alone were kept as control. The plates were incubated at room temperature (28 ± 2ºC) for 10 days. After 10 days of incubation, the pathogen growth was measured in all the petridishesseparately and calculated as per the formula given below (Vincent, 1947).

$$I = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100$$

Where, I = Per cent inhibition over control

C = Radial growth of pathogen in control (mm)

T = Radial growth of pathogen in treatment (mm)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Isolation of antagonists from the rhizosphere soil

Ten isolates of *Bacillussubtilis*(Plate 1) were isolated separately from the rhizosphere region of maize plants collected from different parts of Telangana. (Table 1).

Gram's reaction

The Gram reaction was studied for the isolated bacteria. All 10 isolates of *Bacillus subtilis* were found to show positive result with purple colour. Endospore staining confirmed *Bacillussubtilis* byshowing rod shaped green colour spore forming cells under microscopic observation (Plate 2). Based on the microscopic and cultural characteristics, Preeti and Rawat (2011) also identified four isolates as *Pseudomonas* spp. and others as *Bacillus subtilis*.

Table 1.*Bacillus* spp. isolated from the rhizosphere soils of different crops collected from different parts of Telangana

Isolate	Place of collection	District
B-ISO-1	Allipuram	Khammam
B-ISO-2	Kodumuru	Khammam
B-ISO-3	Raghavapuram	Khammam
B-ISO-4	Arepally	Warangal
B-ISO-5	Oglapur	Warangal
B-ISO-6	Balanaiktanda	Warangal
B-ISO-7	Gundlapalli	Karimnagar
B-ISO-8	Timmapur	Karimnagr

B-ISO-9	Wyra	Khammam
B-ISO-10	Rajendranagar	Rangareddy

Plate 1.*Bacillus* spp. isolated from the different rhizosphere soils

1. **B-ISO-1** **2. B-ISO-2** **3. B-ISO-3** **4.B-ISO-4** **5. B-ISO-5**
6. **B-ISO-6** **7. B-ISO-7** **8. B-ISO-8** **9. B-ISO-9** **10. B-ISO-10**

Plate 2. (a) Isolation of *Bacillus* spp. from soil by serial dilution method





(b) Gram staining **(c) Endospore staining**

Efficacy of isolates of *Bacillus subtilis*against *Fusarimverticillioides*

Out of ten isolates of *Bacillus subtilis* tested for their antagonistic activity against *Fusarim verticillioides* by dual culture technique (Plate 3), B-ISO-3 and B-ISO-2werefound to record significantly highest per cent reduction of mycelial growth 63.3 and 62.8 % respectively, followed by B-ISO-9 which recorded 61.3%t reduction of mycelial growth over control. The lowest per cent reduction of mycelial growth was recorded with the isolate B-IS0-8 (34.2 %) over control(Table2) (Fig 1).

Cavaglieri*et al*. (2005) reported antibiosis produced by 10 *Bacillus* strains on *Fusarimverticillioides* M7075 ranged between 28-78%, *Bacillus* sp.3and *Bacillus* sp. CE 1 produced the greatest antfungal activity. Fransciscо*et al*. (2016) reported that *B. pumilus* and *B. liquefaciens* also recorded significantly higher inhibitory effects and strong growth inhibition on *F. verticilliodes*. Zaim*et al*. (2013) recorded the antifungal activity of five isolates of *Bacillus* spp. *viz*., Rb29, Rb6, Rb12, Rb4, and Rb15 on two isolates of *F. oxysporum*f.sp. *ciceris.* The inhibitory effect against FOC1 ranged from 25.63 to 71.11% and on FOC2, from 28.43 to 60.65% *in vitro.* He also suggested that local isolates of *Bacillus* spp. have a prospective use as biological control agents to protect chickpea plants against chickpea wilt caused by *F. oxysporum*f.sp. *ciceris.* Sukanya*et al*. (2017) reported *Bacillus subtilis* isolate BAS114 with highest inhibitory activity against *Fusariumoxysporum* in dual culture.

Table 2.Efficacy of isolates of *Bacillus subtilis*againstmycelial growth of *Fusariumverticillioides*(F-ISO-7) *in vitro*

S.No	Isolate	Mycelial growth (cm)* at 10 DAI	Growth reduction over control (%)
1	B-ISO-1	4.32	49.3
2	B-ISO-2	3.17	62.8
3	B-ISO-3	3.13	63.3
4	B-ISO-4	5.22	38.8
5	B-ISO-5	4.80	43.7
6	B-ISO-6	3.30	61.3
7	B-ISO-7	5.41	36.5
8	B-ISO-8	5.61	34.2
9	B-ISO-9	3.40	60.1
10	B-ISO-10	5.33	37.5
11	Control	8.53	-
CD (P=0.05)		0.034	-
	SE(m) ±	0.012	-
	C. V.	0.56	-

*Mean of five replications

DAI – Days after incubation

Plate 3. Efficacy of isolates of *Bacillus subtilis*against*Fusarimverticillioides* (F-ISO-7) *in vitro*



Fig 1.Efficacy of isolates of *B. subtilis*againstmycelial growth of *F. verticillioides*(F-IS0-7) *in vitro*



CONCLUSION

All the *Bacillus* strains used *in vitro* experiments inhibited the *Fusarium vertcillioides* mycelia growth. However, the degree of antagonism of the strains for a *F.verticilliodes* pathogen was varied and the mycelia growth inhibition degree depended on the *Bacillus* spp encourages us for more specific selection and field use. Conducted research justify the use of the *Bacillus subtilis* strainsin biological control of diseases caused by phytopathogenic microorganisms.

REFERENCES

Arya, H.C and Jain B.L. 1964.Fusarium seedling blight of maize in Rajasthan.*Indian Phytopathology*. 17: 51-57.

Calvo, P., Ormeño-Orrillo, E., Martínez-Romero, EandZúñiga, D. 2010. Characterization of *Bacillus* isolates of potato rhizosphere from andean soils of Peru and their potential PGPR characteristics. *Brazil Journal of Microbiology*.41: 899- 906.

Cao, Y., Zhang, Z., Ling, N., Yuan, Y., Zheng, X., Shen, B and Shen, Q. 2011.*Bacillus subtilis* SQR 9 can control Fusarium wilt in cucumber by colonizing plant roots. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. 47: 495-506.

Cavaglieri, L., Orlando, J., Rodríguez, M. I., Chulze, S and Etcheverry, M. (2005).Biocontrol of *Bacillus subtilis* against *Fusariumverticillioides in vitro* and at the maize root level. *Research in Microbiology.* 156: 748-754.

Colbert, T.R., Kang, M.S., Myers, O and Zuber, M.S. 1987. General and specific combining ability estimates for pith cell death in stalk internodes of maize. *Field Crop Research*. 17: 155-162.

Dodd, J.L. 1980. Grain sinks size and predisposition of *Zea mays* to stalk rots. *Plant Disease.* 64: 553-537.

Figueroa Lopez, A.M., Cordero Ramirez, J.D., Martinez Alvarez, J.C., Lopez Meyer, M., Lizarraga Sanchez, G.J., Felix Gastelum, R., Castro Martínez, C and Maldonado Mendoza, I.E. 2016.Rhizospheric bacteria of maize with potential for biocontrol of *Fusariumverticillioides*.*Springer Plus*. 5: 330.

Francisco Francisco, N., Gallegos Morales, G., Ochoa Fuentes, Y.M., Delgado Ortiz, J.C and Hernandez Castillo F.D. 2016. .*In vitro* antagonism of *Bacillus* strains against *Fusarium* species. *Mycopathology*. 14(1&2): 15-19.

https://farmar.gov.in (farmer.gov.in)- 2022

ICAR-Indian Institute of Maize Research, Ludhiana, Punjab,India, 2022.

INDIASTAT.2016-2017. http://www.indiastat.com/agriculture/2/stats.aspx.

Ji, S.H., Paul, N.C., Deng, J.X., Kim, Y.S., Yun, B.S and Yu, S.H. 2013.Biocontrol activity of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* CNU114001 against fungal plant diseases.*Mycobiology*. 41(4): 234-242.

Khan, N., Marinez-Hidalgo, P., Ice, T.A., Maymon, M., Humm, E.A., Nejat, N., Sanders, E.R., Kaplan, D and Hirsch, A.M. 2018.Antifungal activity of *Bacillus* species against *Fusarium* and analysis of the potential mechanisms used in biocontrol.*Frontiers in Microbiology*.9: 2363.

Khokhar, M.K., Sharma, S.S and Gupta, R. 2014. Integrated management of post flowering stalk rot of maize caused by *Fusariumverticillioides*. *Indian Phytopathology*. 67(3): 228-233.

Landa, B.B., Hervas, A., Bettiol, W and Diaz, R.M. 1997. Antagonistic activity of bacteria from the chick pea rhizosphere against *Fusariumoxysporum*f.sp.*ciceris*.*Phytoparasitica*. 25(4): 305-318.

Ola, A.R., Thomy, D., Lai, D., Brötz-Oesterhelt, H andProksch, P. 2013.Inducing secondary metabolite production by the endophytic fungus *Fusariumtricinctum* through coculture with *Bacillus subtilis*.*Journal of Natural Products*. 76: 2094-2099.

Pérez-García, A., Romero, D and De Vicente, A. 2011. Plant protection and growth stimulation by microorganisms: biotechnological applications of Bacilli in agriculture. *Current Opinion Biotechnology*. 22: 187-193.

Pammel, L.H. 1914. Serious root and stalk diseases of corn. *IOWA Agriculturist.*15: 156-158.

Preeti, R and Rawat, S. 2011. Exploration of thermophilic bacteria from hot springs of Garhwal Himalayas and their screening for industrially important enzyme production.*Environment Conservation Journal*. 18 (1-2): 149-158.

Raju, C.A and Lal, S. 1976. Relationship of *Cephalosporiumacremonium* and *Fusariummoniliforme* with stalk rot of maize. *Indian Phytopathology*. 3: 227-231.

Rangaswami, G. 1993. *Diseases of Crop Plants in India.*Prentice Hall of India (Pvt). Ltd., New Delhi. p.498.

Saini, P. 2012. Preliminary screening for plant disease suppression by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria.*International Journal of Scientific Reports*. 2: 246-250.

Sukanya, S., Anon, T and Sutticha Na-Ranong, T. 2017.The Potential of *Bacillus subtilis* BAS114 for *in vitro.*Biocontrol of *Fusariumoxysporum.Advances in Environmental Biology*. 11(1): 46-51.

Valleau, W.D. 1920. Seed corn infection with *Fusariummoniliforme* and its relation to the root and stalk rots. *Kentucky Agricultural Experiment Station*. 226: 51.

Vincent J.M. 1947. Distortion of fungal hyphae in the presence of certain inhibitors.*Nature*. 159: 850-850.

Zaim, S., Lakhdar, B and Miloud, B. 2013.Biocontrol of chickpea fusarium wilt by *Bacillus* spp. rhizobacteria. *Journal of Plant Protection Research.*53(2):177-183.

Pranaya K, Bhat BN, Devi GU, Triveni S. Colony, morphological and biochemical characteristics of cotton phyllosphere bacteria and its antagonistic activity against the Alternaria leaf spot of cotton. IJCS. 2020;8(6):1103-7.