

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_95070
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and in vitro studies of native isolates of Bacillus subtilis on maize stalk rot incited byFusarium verticillioides
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Partly correct Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, The manuscript would be complete and important for the scientific community if the in-vivo experiments are conducted</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Find the attachment</p> <p>The abstract of the article is partly comprehensive since it does not indicate the recommendation of the research out put</p> <p>No, the results and discussions are not narrated properly and there is no recommendation. It lack in-depth discussion</p> <p>Mentioned in the manuscript</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>There is language problems of punctuations provided in the manuscript</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Needs language edition. The experiment needs in vivo in greenhouse. The result section needs to be narrated correctly Screening of 10 isolates from how many is not indicated</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Negash Hailu Legesse
Department, University & Country	Debre Berhan University, Ethiopia