

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_94298
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil Acidity and its Distribution in laterite soils of Northern Kerala: A descriptive analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I have no problem with the research as such – logically put forward and methodology properly explained Wrong reference style used. But luckily this enabled me to pick up quite a few mistakes in the references. This off the journal's website: References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. Please say at what confidence level the statistics were performed (<i>P</i>-value) Tables should have headings Please use SI units and leave a space between the number and the unit Stick to either British English or American English – you cannot use both. Never start a sentence with an abbreviation or a number. <p>Please refer to the attached document for my suggestions and comments in track changes.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anélia Marais
Department, University & Country	South Africa