

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_93948
Title of the Manuscript:	Front Line Demonstrations – An effective of upscaling improved varieties of Onion impact on onion variety Agrifound Dark Red in Mahaboobnagr district of Telangana, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In introduction section, rationale of study is not very much clear. It is not clear that what is already known of this particular study.	
Minor REVISION comments	Use of abbreviations is very poor in whole manuscript. Few technical faults are there. Allium cepa should be <i>Allium cepa</i> Eswarprasad et al. 1993 and Samui et al. 2000 should be Eswarprasad <i>et al.</i> , 1993 and Samui <i>et al.</i> , 2000	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ananya Ghosh
Department, University & Country	School of Agricultural Sciences, Sister Nivedita University, India