

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_92886
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutrients release pattern of organic manures, municipal solid waste compost, farm yard manure and industrial by – products in Typic ustifluvent soil –An incubation study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>When describing the experiment, the authors did not indicate the depth of soil sampling for research.</p> <p>In science, there is no concept of room temperature, there should be clear parameters.</p> <p>One of the methods for assessing the use of waste in agricultural production is an environmental assessment. Unfortunately, the authors did not conduct a study on the presence of beneficial and harmful microorganisms.</p> <p>The statistical analysis of the experimental data showed that the increase in the indicator is not reliable because it is within the experimental error. The authors of the article did not indicate this fact, but only made a description of the data obtained. Thus, some conclusions cannot be recommended and characterized as the best.</p> <p>Of the 25 literature sources presented, 10 were published before 2000. It is necessary to increase the number of sources, as well as bring the latest research papers.</p>	As per the instruction of reviewer it has been revised and submitted for publication
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Controversial interpretations and review comments by the reviewer and reviewer reviewed that article in multiple angle but it is only focused for nutrient release pattern by various organic sources on sandy loam soils.