

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_93188
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on: Heavy metals pollution in soil and their remediation techniques
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajsspn.com/index.php/AJSSPN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The Authors claim that the submitted review article is about A Review on: Heavy metals pollution in soil and their remediation techniques. However, it seems they confused about contaminated soil risk mitigation management and remediation technologies.</p> <p>Many remediation technologies were applied all over the world to deal with the contaminated soil and aquifers. Many documents and reviews on these technologies for remediating organic and inorganic pollutants are available (Diels et al., 2005; Evanko and Dzombak, 1997; Khan et al., 2004; Mulligan et al., 2001; Scullion, 2006; USEPA, 1997; Yin and Allen, 1999). Review on heavy metal removal from waste waters is also published recently (Fu and Wang, 2011). A comprehensive review paper reported several technologies exist for the remediation of heavy metals contaminated groundwater and soil (Hashim et al. (2011) and Ali et al. (2013). The article is not well organized and contains non relevant paragraphs. Although, they neglected information about bioremediation and phytoremediation green techniques. For instant, Techniques of phytoremediation include phyto-extraction (or phyto-accumulation), phyto-filtration, phyto-stabilization, phyto-volatilization, and phyto-degradation (Alkorta et al., 2004). The manuscript needs reorganization and removing the redundancy. (see attached file).</p> <p>Sees attached file Reorganize the article and remove the redundancy. Add missing technologies in the text. Remove the non-relevant paragraphs.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript needs reorganization and removing the redundancy. (see attached file).	
Optional/General comments	Long article with no point of view	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	MamdouhFathy Abdel-Sabour
Department, University & Country	Egypt