

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJANR_95074</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>An Update on Current Usage of SGLT2-Inhibitors in Diabetic Kidney Disease: Special Focus on Dapagliflozin</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijanr.com/index.php/IJANR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>After reading title I had very high expectations from author with so much available literature and with every day new surprising results of all the trials done so far. I would suggest first to highlight need of this review today by author.</p> <p>Title is suitable</p> <p>I would suggest following additions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Few of the other important studies have not been included like DECLARE, DEPCT1&amp;2, etc</li> <li>2. Best way to represent is to make a good table including all the studies</li> <li>3. Details of effect of drug needs to be rewritten Add effects on blood pressure Add effects on nondiabetic kidney disease Effects of dose</li> <li>4. Also, one need to mention more about pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, adverse events</li> <li>5. Many of the sentences are repeated in abstract in introduction and further, which should be removed</li> <li>6. At few places references are not given which is must</li> <li>7. Also, a word about experience from INDIA</li> </ol> <p>More good references are needed</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Few of the spelling and grammatical correction needed	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Kinnari Vala</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>GUTS, India</b>