

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_95432
Title of the Manuscript:	'State of the art' of cytochrome P450 (CYP) induction assays- The current industry standards
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalibr.com/index.php/IBRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. interesting review, but no examples from clinical practice. Namely, numerous combinations of drugs are prescribed in the treatment of chronic diseases (e.g. cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, hypertension, malignant diseases...) but also acute diseases (stomach infection caused by Helicobacter pylori, throat infection - we take antibiotics, antipyretics and they all cause a short-term increase liver transaminases...)</p> <p>2. yes</p> <p>3. yes</p> <p>4. yes</p> <p>5. yes</p> <p>6. references older than 10-15 years should be replaced with more recent references, because this field of medicine is rapidly developing and settings 1 year old are no longer so significant</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	the article will gain value and will be more interesting to the wider medical population by presenting clear and most common examples of combining therapy from practice	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Melanija Ražov Radas
Department, University & Country	University of Zadar, Croatia