

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_94189
Title of the Manuscript:	GMC vs Hybrid crops and their impact on health and environment
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ The article is well written and a brief discussion of hybrid and genetically modified crops will be provided in this article. In addition, a comparison of their levels of productivity and health will be covered. ❖ Both additions and corrections were outlined in red color. ❖ Many of the words outlined in yellow should be deleted. ❖ Title: Please avoid using abbreviations in the title. ❖ Abstract: The abstract offers an accurate summary as well as the aims of the paper. ❖ Introduction: The authors give enough background information on the topic, as well as the significance and purpose of the study. ❖ Abbreviations are mentioned for the first time after the full name, after which only the abbreviation is mentioned. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Adding line numbers may be useful for reviewing. ❖ Grammar: Need Some revision. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ In general, the paper is well written, and the manuscript is relevant and informative. ❖ Abstract: The abstract offers an accurate summary of the paper, and the language used in the abstract is easy to read and understand. ❖ Introduction: The authors provide sufficient context on the subject. ❖ Conclusion: The article offers sample data for the authors to draw conclusions from. 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manal Hadi Ghaffoori Kanaan
Department, University & Country	Technical Institute of Suwaria, Middle Technical University, Iraq