

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_95341
Title of the Manuscript:	Ethnobotanical and floristic investigations of Datura species in Mali
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	World is focusing on safe mode of medication. In this medicinal plants can help a lot to treat various ailments. In this context, the author(s) has carried out the work on "Ethnobotanical and floristic investigations of Datura species in Mali".	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Good	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Good	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Good	
Minor REVISION comments	Good	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Medicinal uses of the three species was not clearly mentioned.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. N. Meena Devi
Department, University & Country	Noorul Islam Centre for Higher Education, India