

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_95287
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTS OF AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF SARCOCEPHALUS LATIFOLIUS ON SEXUAL BEHAVIOUR IN MALE RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. Yes, a study on the effect of <i>Sarcocephalus Latifolius</i> On Sexual behaviour In male rats benefits the research community since most of these plants are already in use as a fold remedial aphrodisiac 2. Yes, the title is ok 3. Yes, the abstract summarised the basic components of the paper 4. Yes, the sub-sections are ok 5. Yes 6. The references are sufficient but not so recent, this can be improved upon 7. The precise method of acute toxicity test should be stated and the procedure summarized.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the quality of English Language is ok. However, the article should be proof read to correct minor typographical and grammatical errors.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bruno C. Chinko
Department, University & Country	University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria