

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_95404
Title of the Manuscript:	Crop Water Requirement of Tomato Crop Transplanting under Different Dates under Poly-house Conditions
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is a scientifically correct and real study which will add knowledge about the tomato requirements, irrigation as well growth stage.</p> <p>Yes, the title is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract gives a clear description of the research study.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript is not perfect. The fonts and format have to be revised.</p> <p>Yes, it looks original research study carried out by the authors.</p> <p>References are not more. It is suggested to add some more references related to this study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The article has many grammatical errors. It is suggested to check in Grammarly software.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is no location map in the study area and no plot area shown in the figure. Suggested to authors add the location map as well as plot map. • No reference was given to (Prabhakar, 2009), (Mahmoud and Abdulrasoul, 2012). in the reference list. • The captions of the figures and tables are some are bold and some are not bold. So the article must be prepared according to the guidelines of the journals given in the journals portal. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shoukat Ali Shah
Department, University & Country	Wuhan University, China