

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_95061
Title of the Manuscript:	EARLY WARNING SYSTEMS IN THE SOUTHERN AFRICAN DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY: A NECESSITY
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p><b>This is more for implementing agencies. The authors should include COVID management experiences and prevention/ mitigation measures rather than HIV which cannot be treated as a disaster but a community disciplined practice.</b></p> <p>"Evaluation of restructuring of early warning systems in the southern African development community" if titled would reflect the deliberations and discussions in this paper.  <b>Yes</b></p> <p>Fairly in order</p> <p>This is more an evaluation with lesser scientific study, bur is more a review and assessment of adequacy.            They have not included the COVID protocol practices which is needed. The authors should highlight this important factor. Perhaps do away with HIV/Aids if needed.</p>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Nothing specific other than the one mentioned above.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Saisubramanian
Department, University & Country	Pondicherry Engineering College, India