

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_95061
Title of the Manuscript:	EARLY WARNING SYSTEMS IN THE SOUTHERN AFRICAN DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY: A NECESSITY
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. I agree that the manuscript is relevant and in the interest of readers.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. The Abstract needs to be reviewed - English grammar and the acronyms must be described. Some considerations were corrected in the manuscript.</p> <p>4. The manuscript structure is problematic. It was not easy to identify the main sections. The authors should reorganize the sections, and there are many without numeration. Sub-sections need to be organized.</p> <p>5. There are problems related to English grammar, coherence between sections, manuscript organization and methodology.</p> <p>6. Considering that many official reports were used and only sometimes recent publications are available, the references used were suitable.</p> <p>Other comments and requests are marked along the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It is recommended that an English grammar correction.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Dear authors, please consider the manuscript's comments for improvements.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Liseane Padilha Thives
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil