

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_94935
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Study between pharmacoinvasive strategy and primary Percutaneous Coronary angioplasty on left ventricle function in patients presenting by acute ST Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	you have made an interesting study However, there are many spelling and grammatical errors that make some sentences incomprehensible I have taken the initiative to correct in red some of the mistakes. I invite you to read again the document and pay attention to the mistakes. Once again, I congratulate you on the choice and relevance of the subject	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Massimbo Zito Désiré
Department, University & Country	Mohammed V University of Rabat, Morocco