

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</b>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JPRI_94935</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>A Comparative Study between pharmacoinvasive strategy and primary Percutaneous Coronary angioplasty on left ventricle function in patients presenting by acute ST Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction</b> |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b> |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>Its difficult to except the conclusion since you have shown a higher mortality and lower ejection fraction in patients treated with pharmacol invasive therapy.</p> <p>It maybe possible to support this if you looked at the 50% of patients treated with pharmaco invasive treatment who had TIMI 3 flow at the time of angiography.</p> <p>It maybe that if reperfusion with lytics works delaying invasive therapy for 3-24 hours would produce same results as immediate invasive therapy.</p> <p>It is hard to accept that treatments are equal based on data showing worse outcome with pharmaco invasive treatment</p> |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |   |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |   |  |

### **PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b> |
|---|---|--|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <b><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></b> |  |

### **Reviewer Details:**

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Name:</b>                                | <b>John Coppola</b>                    |
| <b>Department, University &amp; Country</b> | <b>NYU Langone Medical Center, USA</b> |