

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_95363
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPOSURE-TIME EFFECT OF CEMENT DUST ON LIVER ORGAN IN RAT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalbjournal.com/index.php/BJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript more than important for scientific community</p> <p>2. The title already communicative and suitable</p> <p>3. introduction, study aims are good. The author can add the cement exposure methods in the abstract (for example inhalation or etc.). The author can add also the analysed methos, what kind of statistical analysed. The meaning of "The result showed that there were significant alterations (p < 0.05) in the levels of....." quite confusing, please add the statement clearly. Is the differences between all groups?</p> <p>4. The subsection already appropriate</p> <p>5. Some suggestion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The author can add the study design such as experimental design - Please add the characteristics of rats. The species of rats, body weight, the age of rats. How to maintenance the rats during acclimatization or daily. - The author should give the explanation how to sacrifice the rats - Table 3: Give the title completely in table 3, what information for that table? - Is it any statistical analysis for histopathological report? What kind of analysis? Is it qualitative or quantitative methods? Is it just one sample? How about the other rats? The author should give the explanation about the other rats, not only one sample. - Please add the discussion, need more improvement in the discussion <p>6. The author still use the references manually, please use the tools for reference such as Mendeley or Zotero or another tools</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language is good	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The manuscript is important for scientific community. Need more information in this manuscript	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nurvita Risdiana
Department, University & Country	School of Nursing, Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta, Indonesia