

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Biotechnology Journal International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJI_95047</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Polymorphism and Haplotype Structure of TLR2 Gene Associated with Endometritis Infection in River Buffalo</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalbjournal.com/index.php/BJI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Concept of article is good and conducted in scientific manner. Title is correct but I think if Author add "Effects of or Evaluation of polymorphism..." then it will be more scientific</b> <b>Abstract is well written and subsections are also in scientific pattern. So Manuscript is scientifically correct.</b>  <b>Writing skills are good but need some improvements like</b> <b>In abstract conclusion the abbreviation "PAMPs) has been used. For the first time Author should write full terminology along with abbreviation in bracket "Pathogen associated Molecular pattern (PAMPs) " as written in first line of this section and then write in abbreviation PAMP whenever use in that section.</b> <b>There are many places in each section where there is need of space between two words or between two sentence like in line 8 of Abstract written as "thegenetic". Reference should be increase. For example In introduction section in first paragraph there are many sentences but only one reference was used. In scientific pattern there should be a reference of each sentenced author write in article.</b> <b>There is one suggestion for very long tables. Only mention related information. For example there is no significance relation among two values then remove them and only present relative information or use these long tables as additional files.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes. Need some corrections of minor grammar mistakes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Article is well written and can be accepted after some minor mistakes.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Muhammad Jamshed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ghazi University, Pakistan</b>