

# COMPUTER PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE SOLUTION TO A TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM INVOLVING A CONCAVE COST FUNCTION

## Abstract

The work is on computer programming language solution to a transportation problem involving a concave cost function. Two computer programming languages; Wolfram Mathematica and Anaconda Python programming tools were employed in this study to effectively solve four real life examples from published works. The results from the programming languages yielded an optimal value of ₦253,000 with an optimal solution as  $z_{12} = 13$ ,  $z_{22} = 5$ ,  $z_{23} = 8$ ,  $z_{31} = 11$  and  $z_{33} = 4$  in the first example and the remaining three examples were successfully solved with optimal values of ₦377,000, GH¢ 236,000 and ₦509,000 respectively, and the results agreed with the results of existing Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) procedure of Modified Distribution Method.

**Keywords:** Volume Discount, Karush-Kuhn-Tucker, Concave Cost Function, Transportation Problem, Optimal Solution

## 1 Introduction

“Transportation problems involving a concave cost function, simply means a nonlinear transportation problem; indicating a scenario whereby volume discounts are being available for bulk shipments. In this case, the cost function of the transportation problem is separable, and the marginal cost (cost per unit of goods shipped) decreases as the shipment volume increases, so it generally assumes a concave structure. It increases due to the increase in the total cost per additional unit of goods shipped” (Haruna et al, 2012). Discounts may be directly related to the unit of commodity or may have the same rate for a particular amount. Thus, the discount may be either directly associated to the unit commodity or have the equivalent rate for some quantity. However, if the discount is directly associated to the unit commodity, then the resulting cost function becomes continuous and possesses continuous first partial derivatives.

“Profit and cost is what virtually everyone deems interested in employing, using any efficient resources to optimize; hence various forms of transportation models are in existence. There are different kinds of transportation problems which are applied in the business world and the primary aim of a transportation problem is to find a means of moving this transfer of goods at a minimized total cost in order to make profit” (Mostafa et al, 2022; Kaur & Kumar, 2011). In describing the transportation problem in its conventional form, the assumption is that an informed decision maker has an understanding on the value of transportation cost, demand and supply; hence, unpredictability is a common occurrence in real life circumstances.

This study **tackles** a transportation problem in a concave cost function using computer programming languages. However, there are some factors that are responsible for the cost of goods, and some of them are transport, raw materials' costs and labour. This implies that the cost of raw materials is directly proportional to the cost of the goods, and the pricing system is also affected when there is a significant variation in the transportation cost (Rudi et al, 2016). The cost of goods per unit shipped is assumed to be constant irrespective of the quantity shipped from a given source to a defined destination; but the cost sometimes may not be constant in actuality. Sometimes, quantity discounts are feasible for large shipments in such a way that the marginal cost of transporting a unit might approach a specific pattern (Minken & Johansen, 2019).

## 2 Literature Review

Oliveira et al. (2020) carried out “a research on a comparative study of linear programming and nonlinear programming models of the ship speed optimisation problem in maritime transportation”. It was demonstrated in the work reported in the study that the linear programming formulation yielded better results than the nonlinear programming formulation for the ship speed optimisation problem. Therefore, great care must be taken in using the uniform speed assumption, in view of the complex nature of the functional relation between ship fuel consumption rate and ship speed, as pointed out by Psarftis & Kontovas (2013). In view of the findings summarised in the work, the linear programming formulation of the ship speed optimisation problem holds many promises that **worth** exploring in further research. These include complex functional relations between ship fuel consumption and ship speed to take into account dependence on ship payload and weather conditions encountered in ship voyages, ship routing in both **linear** and tramp shipping, and ship fuel bunkering.

Amaravathy et al. (2018) compared the existing methods with the one they worked on the optimum solution of **Origin, First, Second, Third, and Fourth quadrants** (OFSTF) and **Maximum Divide Minimum Allotment** (MDMA) methods. In their study, different approaches to the OFSTF method (origin, first, second, third, fourth quadrants) were used to directly obtain a practical solution to the transport problem. The proposed method was unique and always provided a practical (probably optimal) solution without disturbing the state of degeneration. This process involved a minimum of iterations to achieve the optimization. In order to confirm the validity of the proposed method, they solved numerical examples and discussed the degeneracy problem.

## 3 Transportation Problem via Concave Cost Functions

“Volume discounts may be available for bulk shipments. In this case, the cost function of the transportation problem is separable, and the marginal cost (cost per unit of goods shipped) decreases as the shipment volume increases, so **shipping cost** generally **assumes** a concave structure. It increases due to the increase in the total cost per additional unit of goods shipped”

(Haruna et al, 2012). However, If the discount is directly associated to the unit commodity, then the resulting cost function becomes continues and possesses continues first partial derivatives.

#### 4 Computer Written Programming Codes for Transportation Problem in a Concave Cost Function

This section explains how the transportation problem in a concave cost function could be solved using two programming languages codes written in Wolfram Mathematica programming and Anaconda Python programming.

##### 4.1 Wolfram Mathematica Computer Written Programming Codes for Transportation Problem in a Concave Cost Function

```

Clear[f2, z, k, R, C, L, K, V, a];
m=p (Number of sources);
n=q (Number of destinations);
f2[z_ ]:= k11*z[1,1] - p11*z[1,1]^2+ k12*z[1,2] - p12*z[1,2]^2+...+ k1j*z[1,j] - p1j*z[1,j]^2+...+
k1n*z[1,n] - p1n*z[1,n]^2+ k21*z[2,1] - p21*z[2,1]^2+ k22*z[2,2] - p22*z[2,2]^2+
k2j*z[2,j] - p2j*z[2,j]^2...+ k2n*z[2,n] - p2n*z[2,n]^2+...+ ki1*z[i,1] - pi1*z[i,1]^2+
ki2*z[i,2] - pi2*z[i,2]^2+...+ kij*z[i,j] - pij*z[i,j]^2+...+ kin*z[i,n] - pin*z[i,n]^2+...+
km1*z[m,1] - pm1*z[m,1]^2+ km2*z[m,2] - pm2*z[m,2]^2+... kmj*z[m,j] -
pmj*z[m,j]^2+...+ kmn*z[m,n] - pmn*z[m,n]^2;
R1:=z[1,1]+z[1,2]+...+z[1,j]+...+z[1,n]==S1;
R2:=z[2,1]+z[2,2]+...+z[2,j]+...+z[2,n]==S2;
⋮
⋮
Ri:=z[i,1]+z[i,2]+...+z[i,j]+...+z[i,n]==Si;
⋮
⋮
Rm:=z[m,1]+z[m,2]+...+z[m,j]+...+z[m,n]==Sm;
C1:=z[1,1]+z[2,1]+...+z[i,1]+...+z[m,1]==D1;
C2:=z[1,2]+z[2,2]+...+z[i,2]+...+z[m,2]==D2;
⋮
⋮
Cj:=z[1,j]+z[2,j]+...+z[i,j]+...+z[m,j]==Dj;
⋮
⋮
Cn:=z[1,n]+z[2,n]+...+z[i,n]+...+z[m,n]==Dn;
L=Join[{R1},{R2},...,{Ri},...,{Rm},{C1},{C2},...,{Cj},...,{Cn}];
K1:=z[1,1]>=0;
K2:=z[1,2]>=0;
⋮
⋮
K3:=z[1,j]>=0;
⋮
⋮
K4:=z[1,n]>=0;
K5:=z[2,1]>=0;
K6:=z[2,2]>=0;
⋮
⋮
K7:=z[2,j]>=0;

```

```

:           :
K8:=z[2,n]>=0;
:           :
K9:=z[i,1]>=0;
K10:=z[i,2]>=0;
:           :
K11:=z[i,j]>=0;
:           :
K12:=z[i,n]>=0;
:           :
K13:=z[m,1]>=0;
K14:=z[m,2]>=0;
:           :
K15:=z[m,j]>=0;
:           :
K16:=z[m,n]>=0;
K=Join[{K1},{K2},...,{K3},...,{K4},{K5},{K6},...,{K7},...,{K8},...,{K9},{K10},...,{K11},
...,{K12},...,{K13},{K14},...,{K15},...,{K16}];
V=Join[L,K];
a=Variables[f2[z]];
tim=Timing[NMinimize[ {f2[z],V} , a , StepMonitor:> Print["Step:
z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = ",z[1,1]," ",z[1,2]," ",z[1,3]," ",z[3,3]]]];
tim

```

## 4.2 Anaconda Python Computer Written Programming Codes for Transportation Problem in a Concave Case

```

In [1]: from gekko import GEKKO
import numpy as np
from matplotlib import pyplot as plt

```

```

In [2]: # Initialize Model
m = GEKKO(remote = True)

```

```

In [3]: # help (m)
# define parameters
eq_1 = m.Param(value =S1)
eq_2 = m.Param(value =S2)
:           :
eq_i = m.Param(value =Si)
:           :
eq_m = m.Param(value =Sm)
eq_m+1 = m.Param(value =D1)
eq_m+2 = m.Param(value =D2)

```

```

      ⋮           ⋮
eq_j = m.Param(value =D_j)
      ⋮           ⋮
eq_n = m.Param(value =D_n)

```

In [4]: # Initialize variables, k=number of variables  
z11, ..., z1j, ..., z1n, z21, ..., z2j, z2n, ..., zi1, zi2, ..., zij, ..., zin, ..., zm1, zm2, ..., \
zmj, ..., zmn= [m.Var() for i in range(k)]

In [5]: # Initialize values (Assigning values or constants whose summation is less than or equal  
to S<sub>i</sub> or D<sub>j</sub>)

```

z11 = m.Var(value = c1)
      ⋮           ⋮
z1j = m.Var(value = c2)
      ⋮           ⋮
z1n = m.Var(value = c3)
z21 = m.Var(value = c4)
      ⋮           ⋮
z2j = m.Var(value = c5)
z2n = m.Var(value = c6)
      ⋮           ⋮
zi1 = m.Var(value = c7)
zi2 = m.Var(value = c8)
      ⋮           ⋮
zij = m.Var(value = c9)
      ⋮           ⋮
zin = m.Var(value = c10)
      ⋮           ⋮
Zm1 = m.Var(value = c11)
Zm2 = m.Var(value = c12)
      ⋮           ⋮
Zmj = m.Var(value = c13)
      ⋮           ⋮
Zmn = m.Var(value = c14)

```

In [6]: z11.lower = 0  
 ⋮ ⋮  
z1j.lower = 0  
 ⋮ ⋮  
z1n.lower = 0  
z21.lower = 0  
 ⋮ ⋮  
z2j.lower = 0  
z2n.lower = 0  
 ⋮ ⋮  
zi1.lower = 0

```

zi2.lower = 0
⋮
zij.lower = 0
⋮
zin.lower = 0
⋮
Zm1.lower = 0
Zm2.lower = 0
zmj.lower = 0
⋮
Zmn.lower = 0

```

```

In [7]: z11.upper = None
⋮
z1j.upper = None
⋮
z1n.upper = None
z21.upper = None
⋮
z2j.upper = None
z2n.upper = None
⋮
zi1.upper = None
zi2.upper = None
⋮
zij.upper = None
⋮
zin.upper = None
⋮
Zm1.upper = None
Zm2.upper = None
zmj.upper = None
⋮
Zmn.upper = None

```

```

In [8]: m.Equations([z11+z12+...+z1j+...+z1n == eq_1,..., zi1+zi2+zij+...+zin == eq_i,\
...,zm1+z21+...+zmj+...+zmn == eq_m, z11+z21+...+zi1+...+zm1 == eq_m+1 \
...,z1j+z2j+...+zij+...+zmj == eq_j,..., z1n+z2n+...+zin+...+zmn == eq_n])

```

```

In [9]: m.Obj(k11*z11 - p11*z11**2+ k12*z12 - p12*z12**2+...+ k1j*z1j - p1j*z1j**2+...+ \
k1n*z1n - p1n*z1n**2+ k21*z21 - p21*z21**2+ k22*z22 - p22*z22**2+ k2j*z2j - \
p2j*z2j**2...+ k2n*z2n - p2n*z2n**2+...+ k1i*zi1 - p1i*zi1**2+ k12*zi2 - \
p12*zi2**2+...+ k1j*zij - p1j*zij**2+...+ kin*zin - pin*zin**2+...+ km1*zm1 - \
pml*zm1**2+ km2*zm2 - pm2*zm2**2+... kmj*zmj - pmj*zmj**2+...+ kmn*zmn - \
pnn*zmn**2)

```

```

In [10]: m.options.IMODE =3
In [11]: m.solve(GEKKO(remote=True))
In [12]: m.options.SOLVER=1
In [12]: m.options.SOLVER=1
In [13]: print("")
          print('Results')
          print('z11: ' + str(z11.value))
          print('      :      ')
          print('z1j: ' + str(z1j.value))
          print('      :      ')
          print('z1n: ' + str(z1n.value))
          print('z21: ' + str(z21.value))
          print('      :      ')
          print('z2j: ' + str(z2j.value))
          print('z2n: ' + str(z2n.value))
          print('      :      ')
          print('zi1: ' + str(zi1.value))
          print('zi2: ' + str(zi2.value))
          print('      :      ')
          print('zij: ' + str(zij.value))
          print('      :      ')
          print('zin: ' + str(zin.value))
          print('      :      ')
          print('zm1: ' + str(zm1.value))
          print('zm2: ' + str(zm2.value))
          print('zmj: ' + str(zmj.value))
          print('      :      ')
          print('zmn: ' + str(zmn.value))

```

Results

## 5 Numerical Problems

### Example 1

Unilever Nigeria Plc located in Apapa Ikeja, produces and sells the products as indicated in the Table 1 together with the associated company's percentage discount:

Table 1: Unit Cost of Products and Company's Percentage Discount

	MARKETS SEGMENTS			SUPPLY
	P	Q	R	
Omo washing powder	5	4	6	13,000
Blue Band margarine	7	6	5	13,000

Vaseline	9	11	8	15,000
DEMAND	11,000	18,000	12,000	
Company's percentage discount shipped				
	P	Q	R	
Omo washing powder	0.03	0.015	0.04	
Blue Band margarine	0.02	0.03	0.05	
Vaseline	0.035	0.05	0.03	

Source: Okenwe (2018)

Due to the discount given to each box as a result of large volume of transporting from source  $i$  to destination  $j$ , the formulation of the transportation problem in nonlinear form is:

$$\text{Min.} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 k_{ij} z_{ij}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{S.t. } z_{11} + z_{12} + z_{13} &= 13 \\ z_{21} + z_{22} + z_{23} &= 13 \\ z_{31} + z_{32} + z_{33} &= 15 \\ z_{11} + z_{21} + z_{31} &= 11 \\ z_{12} + z_{22} + z_{32} &= 18 \\ z_{13} + z_{23} + z_{33} &= 12 \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} k_{11} z_{11} &= 5z_{11} - p_{11} z_{11}^2, k_{12} z_{12} = 4z_{12} - p_{12} z_{12}^2, k_{13} z_{13} = 6z_{13} - p_{13} z_{13}^2, k_{21} z_{21} = 7z_{21} - p_{21} z_{21}^2 \\ k_{22} z_{22} &= 6z_{22} - p_{22} z_{22}^2, k_{23} z_{23} = 5z_{23} - p_{23} z_{23}^2, k_{31} z_{31} = 9z_{31} - p_{31} z_{31}^2, k_{32} z_{32} = 11z_{32} - p_{32} z_{32}^2 \\ k_{33} z_{33} &= 8z_{33} - p_{33} z_{33}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Due to the discount given to each box as a result of large volume of transporting from source  $i$  to destination  $j$ , then the cost function ( $k_{ij}$ ) is indicated as:

$$\begin{aligned} k_{11} z_{11} &= 5z_{11} - 0.03z_{11}^2, k_{12} z_{12} = 4z_{12} - 0.015z_{12}^2, k_{13} z_{13} = 6z_{13} - 0.04z_{13}^2, k_{21} z_{21} = 7z_{21} - 0.02z_{21}^2 \\ k_{22} z_{22} &= 6z_{22} - 0.03z_{22}^2, k_{23} z_{23} = 5z_{23} - 0.05z_{23}^2, k_{31} z_{31} = 9z_{31} - 0.035z_{31}^2, k_{32} z_{32} = 11z_{32} - 0.05z_{32}^2 \\ k_{33} z_{33} &= 8z_{33} - 0.03z_{33}^2 \end{aligned}$$

The output of Example 1 via Wolfram Mathematica and Anaconda Python is shown below;

## 5.1 Wolfram Mathematica Output of Example 1

```
Clear[f2,z,L,K,V,a];
f2[z_]:=5*z[1,1]-0.03*z[1,1]^2+4*z[1,2]-0.015*z[1,2]^2+6*z[1,3]-0.04*z[1,3]^2+7*z[2,1]-
0.02*z[2,1]^2+6*z[2,2]-0.03*z[2,2]^2+5*z[2,3]-0.05*z[2,3]^2+9*z[3,1]-0.035*z[3,1]^2+11*z[3,2]-
0.05*z[3,2]^2+8*z[3,3]-0.03*z[3,3]^2;
L1:=z[1,1]+z[1,2]+z[1,3]==13;
```

```

L2:=z[2,1]+z[2,2]+z[2,3]==13;
L3:=z[3,1]+z[3,2]+z[3,3]==15;
L4:=z[1,1]+z[2,1]+z[3,1]==11;
L5:=z[1,2]+z[2,2]+z[3,2]==18;
L6:=z[1,3]+z[2,3]+z[3,3]==12;
L=Join[{L1},{L2},{L3},{L4},{L5},{L6}];
K1:=z[1,1]>=0;
K2:=z[1,2]>=0;
K3:=z[1,3]>=0;
K4:=z[2,1]>=0;
K5:=z[2,2]>=0;
K6:=z[2,3]>=0;
K7:=z[3,1]>=0;
K8:=z[3,2]>=0;
K9:=z[3,3]>=0;
K=Join[{K1},{K2},{K3},{K4},{K5},{K6},{K7},{K8},{K9}];
V=Join[L,K];
a=Variables[f2[z]];
tim=Timing[NMinimize[{f2[z],V},a,StepMonitor->Print["Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] =
",z[1,1],"",z[1,2],"",z[1,3],"",z[3,3]]]];
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.679135 , 12.2714 , 0.0494333 , 1.27443
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.679135 , 12.2714 , 0.0494333 , 1.27443
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.00452482 , 12.7951 , 0.200366 , 0.533278
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.00452482 , 12.7951 , 0.200366 , 0.533278
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.00452482 , 12.7951 , 0.200366 , 0.533278
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.00452482 , 12.7951 , 0.200366 , 0.533278
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.00452482 , 12.7951 , 0.200366 , 0.533278
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.00452482 , 12.7951 , 0.200366 , 0.533278
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0761768 , 12.5756 , 0.348219 , 0.131187
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0610422 , 12.731 , 0.207952 , 0.0591868
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0610422 , 12.731 , 0.207952 , 0.0591868
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0610422 , 12.731 , 0.207952 , 0.0591868
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0610422 , 12.731 , 0.207952 , 0.0591868
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0464471 , 12.9467 , 0.00687596 , 0.365764
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0464471 , 12.9467 , 0.00687596 , 0.365764
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0920152 , 12.7977 , 0.110271 , 0.0139504
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0920152 , 12.7977 , 0.110271 , 0.0139504
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0546995 , 12.9373 , 0.00802395 , 0.0512077
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0546995 , 12.9373 , 0.00802395 , 0.0512077
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0546995 , 12.9373 , 0.00802395 , 0.0512077
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0546995 , 12.9373 , 0.00802395 , 0.0512077
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0546995 , 12.9373 , 0.00802395 , 0.0512077
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0546995 , 12.9373 , 0.00802395 , 0.0512077
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0546995 , 12.9373 , 0.00802395 , 0.0512077
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0546995 , 12.9373 , 0.00802395 , 0.0512077
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0546995 , 12.9373 , 0.00802395 , 0.0512077
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0812792 , 12.8856 , 0.0331009 , -0.00048798
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0812792 , 12.8856 , 0.0331009 , -0.00048798
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0361427 , 12.9633 , 0.000553165 , 0.0224059
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0361427 , 12.9633 , 0.000553165 , 0.0224059
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0520463 , 12.9405 , 0.00746324 , 0.00716501
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0520463 , 12.9405 , 0.00746324 , 0.00716501
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0520463 , 12.9405 , 0.00746324 , 0.00716501
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0520463 , 12.9405 , 0.00746324 , 0.00716501
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0520463 , 12.9405 , 0.00746324 , 0.00716501
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0520463 , 12.9405 , 0.00746324 , 0.00716501
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0520463 , 12.9405 , 0.00746324 , 0.00716501
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0520463 , 12.9405 , 0.00746324 , 0.00716501
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0614247 , 12.9315 , 0.0070737 , 0.00427768
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0614247 , 12.9315 , 0.0070737 , 0.00427768
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0614247 , 12.9315 , 0.0070737 , 0.00427768
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0505677 , 12.9469 , 0.00255937 , 0.000755734
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.09301 , 12.8942 , 0.0128178 , 0.00827994
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0827176 , 12.9154 , 0.00191623 , 0.000232425
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0827176 , 12.9154 , 0.00191623 , 0.000232425
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.121709 , 12.8703 , 0.00795573 , -0.00110205
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.196778 , 12.7822 , 0.0210317 , 0.00454851
Step: z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.196778 , 12.7822 , 0.0210317 , 0.00454851

```

```

Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.207363 , 12.7865 , 0.00613269 , -0.000462094
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.334976 , 12.6352 , 0.0298175 , -0.000108529
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.334976 , 12.6352 , 0.0298175 , -0.000108529
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.510508 , 12.4472 , 0.0422997 , 0.120491
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.488911 , 12.4803 , 0.0307975 , 0.0812445
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.488911 , 12.4803 , 0.0307975 , 0.0812445
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.488911 , 12.4803 , 0.0307975 , 0.0812445
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.488911 , 12.4803 , 0.0307975 , 0.0812445
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.462777 , 12.5068 , 0.0303988 , 0.0465901
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.462777 , 12.5068 , 0.0303988 , 0.0465901
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.462777 , 12.5068 , 0.0303988 , 0.0465901
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.462777 , 12.5068 , 0.0303988 , 0.0465901
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.451278 , 12.5173 , 0.0314083 , -0.00130412
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.451278 , 12.5173 , 0.0314083 , -0.00130412
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.454816 , 12.52 , 0.0251821 , 0.0191165
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.454816 , 12.52 , 0.0251821 , 0.0191165
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.454816 , 12.52 , 0.0251821 , 0.0191165
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.454816 , 12.52 , 0.0251821 , 0.0191165
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.454816 , 12.52 , 0.0251821 , 0.0191165
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.454816 , 12.52 , 0.0251821 , 0.0191165
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.454816 , 12.52 , 0.0251821 , 0.0191165
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.454419 , 12.5258 , 0.0197449 , 0.0185168
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.454419 , 12.5258 , 0.0197449 , 0.0185168
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.454419 , 12.5258 , 0.0197449 , 0.0185168
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.445135 , 12.5355 , 0.0193661 , 0.00427392
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.441966 , 12.541 , 0.0170058 , 0.00261565
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.441447 , 12.5459 , 0.0126755 , 0.00311075
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.441447 , 12.5459 , 0.0126755 , 0.00311075
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.441447 , 12.5459 , 0.0126755 , 0.00311075
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.441447 , 12.5459 , 0.0126755 , 0.00311075
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.441447 , 12.5459 , 0.0126755 , 0.00311075
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.437815 , 12.5501 , 0.012064 , 0.0000337962
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.437815 , 12.5501 , 0.012064 , 0.0000337962
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.441787 , 12.5518 , 0.00639919 , 0.00902117
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.436281 , 12.5617 , 0.00201731 , 0.00303067
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.436281 , 12.5617 , 0.00201731 , 0.00303067
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.436281 , 12.5617 , 0.00201731 , 0.00303067
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.442416 , 12.5576 , 0.00111263
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.437073 , 12.5629 , 0.00029804
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.437073 , 12.5629 , 0.00029804
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.437073 , 12.5629 , 0.00029804
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.438726 , 12.5613 , 0.0001833
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.438726 , 12.5613 , 0.0001833
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.438726 , 12.5613 , 0.0001833
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.438726 , 12.5613 , 0.0001833
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.439106 , 12.5609 , 0.000126832
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.446625 , 12.5534 , 0.000368506
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.446625 , 12.5534 , 0.000368506
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = -1.08549 , 14.0855 , 0.000451078
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.131384 , 12.8686 , 0. -0.00737012
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0027845 , 12.9972 , 0. -0.0015764
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 3.05114*10-6 , 13. , 0. -0.0000134125
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 2.379*10-12 , 13. , 0. -1.56092*10-11
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 1.19982*10-23 , 13. , 0. 0.
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.446625 , 12.5524 , 0.001 , 0.00368506
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.588814 , 12.4074 , 0.00376971 , 0.995278
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.00588814 , 12.8423 , 0.151809 , 1.52872
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0251432 , 12.9023 , 0.0725069 , 1.84993
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0211205 , 12.9227 , 0.0562188 , 3.17547
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.0121676 , 12.9687 , 0.0191761 , 4.08295
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.000229113 , 12.9993 , 0.000433091 , 4.00134
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 2.30458*10-6 , 13. , 4.41297*10-6 , 4.00001
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.13. , 0. 3.69684
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.13. , 0. 3.92754
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.13. , 0. 3.98208
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.13. , 0. 3.99553
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.13. , 0. 3.99888
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.13. , 0. 3.99972
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.13. , 0. 3.99993
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0.13. , 0. 3.99998

```

```

Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0. 13. , 0. 4.
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0. 13. , 0. 4.
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0. 13. , 0. 4.
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0. 13. , 0. 4.
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0. 13. , 0. 4.
Step : z[1,1],z[1,2],z[1,3],z[3,3] = 0. 13. , 0. 4.
tim
{6.09964, {241.8, {z[1,1]->0., z[1,2]->13., z[1,3]->0., z[2,1]->0., z[2,2]->5., z[2,3]->8., z[3,1]->11., z[3,2]->9.24835*10-8, z[3,3]->4.}}}
Clear[f, fmin]
f2[z]=5*z[1,1]+4*z[1,2]+6*z[1,3]+7*z[2,1]+6*z[2,2]+5*z[2,3]+9*z[3,1]+11*z[3,2]+8*z[3,3];
z[1,1]=0;
z[1,2]=13;
z[1,3]=0;
z[2,1]=0;
z[2,2]=5;
z[2,3]=8;
z[3,1]=11;
z[3,2]=0;
z[3,3]=4;
fmin=f2[z];
fmin
253

```

## 5.2 Anaconda Python Output of Example 1

```

In [1]: from gekko import GEKKO
import numpy as np
from matplotlib import pyplot as plt

In [2]: # Initialize Model
m = GEKKO(remote = True)

In [3]: # help (m)
# define parameters
eq_1 = m.Param(value =13)
eq_2 = m.Param(value =15)
eq_3 = m.Param(value =11)
eq_4 = m.Param(value =18)
eq_5 = m.Param(value =12)

In [4]: # Initialize variables
z11, z12, z13, z21, z22, z23, z31, z32, z33 = \
[m.Var() for i in range(9)]

In [5]: # Initialize values
z11 = m.Var(value = 1)
z12 = m.Var(value = 2)
z13 = m.Var(value = 3)
z21 = m.Var(value = 2)
z22 = m.Var(value = 2)
z23 = m.Var(value = 5)
z31 = m.Var(value = 1)
z32 = m.Var(value = 5)
z33 = m.Var(value = 4)

In [6]: z11.lower = 0
z12.lower = 0
z13.lower = 0
z21.lower = 0
z22.lower = 0
z23.lower = 0
z31.lower = 0
z32.lower = 0
z33.lower = 0

In [7]: z11.upper = None
z12.upper = None
z13.upper = None
z21.upper = None
z22.upper = None
z23.upper = None
z31.upper = None
z32.upper = None

```

```

z33.upper = None
In [8]: m.Equations([z11+z12+z13 == eq_1, z21+z22+z23 == eq_1, z31+z32+z33 == eq_2,\ z11+z21+z31
== eq_3, z12+z22+z32 == eq_4, z13+z23+z33 == eq_5])
Out [8]: [<gekko.gekko.EquationObj at 0x237ff8d28e0>,
<gekko.gekko.EquationObj at 0x237ff8d2c10>,
<gekko.gekko.EquationObj at 0x237ff8d29d0>,
<gekko.gekko.EquationObj at 0x237ff8cbbb0>,
<gekko.gekko.EquationObj at 0x237814de4f0>,
<gekko.gekko.EquationObj at 0x237ff8db670>]
In [9]: m.Obj(5*z11-0.03*z11**2+4*z12-0.015*z12**2+4*z13-0.04*z13**2+7*z21-0.02*z21**2\
+6*z22-0.03*z22**2+5*z23-0.05*z23**2+9*z31-0.035*z31**2+11*z32-0.05*z32**2\
+8*z33-0.03*z33**2)
In [10]: m.options.IMODE =3

In [11]: m.solve(GEKKO(remote=True))
apm 105.112.210.32_gk_model0 <br><pre> -----

```

APMonitor, Version 1.0.1  
APMonitor Optimization Suite

----- APM Model Size -----

Each time step contains  
Objects : 0  
Constants : 0  
Variables : 32  
Intermediates: 0  
Connections : 0  
Equations : 7  
Residuals : 7

Number of state variables: 27  
Number of total equations: - 6  
Number of slack variables: - 0

-----  
Degrees of freedom : 21

iter	objective	inf_pr	inf_du	lg(mu)	d	lg(rg)	alpha_du	alpha_pr	ls
0	1.6833500e+02	9.00e+00	2.67e+00	0.0	0.00e+00	-	0.00e+00	0.00e+00	0
1	1.6881766e+02	7.90e+00	3.00e+00	-6.0	1.46e+01	-4.0	6.36e-02	1.23e-01h	1
2	2.4765788e+02	1.44e+00	2.39e+00	0.4	4.05e+00	-4.5	4.61e-01	8.18e-01h	1
3	2.4037967e+02	1.36e+00	2.09e+00	-0.9	6.08e+01	-1.3	8.77e-02	5.61e-02f	1
4	2.3932635e+02	8.82e-01	4.17e+00	-1.1	5.78e+00	-1.8	1.34e-01	3.49e-01h	1
5	2.4058508e+02	5.94e-01	2.85e+00	-1.9	2.08e+00	-2.3	6.35e-01	3.27e-01h	1
6	2.3850071e+02	3.49e-01	2.45e+00	-6.6	5.01e+00	-2.8	3.07e-03	4.12e-01h	1
7	2.4183230e+02	1.17e-02	1.84e-01	-1.2	2.34e-01	-3.3	1.00e+00	9.66e-01h	1
8	2.4180281e+02	2.53e-06	2.48e-04	-3.0	5.00e-02	-3.7	9.98e-01	1.00e+00h	1
9	2.4180001e+02	4.97e-09	2.36e-07	-9.0	4.96e-04	-4.2	9.98e-01	9.98e-01h	1
iter	objective	inf_pr	inf_du	lg(mu)	d	lg(rg)	alpha_du	alpha_pr	ls
10	2.4180000e+02	3.55e-15	1.82e-11	-11.0	8.74e-07	-4.7	1.00e+00	1.00e+00h	1

Number of Iterations....: 10

	(scaled)	(unscaled)
Objective.....	2.4179999978554039e+02	2.4179999978554039e+02
Dual infeasibility.....	1.8188284627939566e-11	1.8188284627939566e-11
Constraint violation....	3.5527136788005009e-15	3.5527136788005009e-15
Complementarity.....	1.1361390269409665e-11	1.1361390269409665e-11
Overall NLP error.....	1.8188284627939566e-11	1.8188284627939566e-11

Number of objective function evaluations = 11  
Number of objective gradient evaluations = 11  
Number of equality constraint evaluations = 11  
Number of inequality constraint evaluations = 0  
Number of equality constraint Jacobian evaluations = 11  
Number of inequality constraint Jacobian evaluations = 0  
Number of Lagrangian Hessian evaluations = 10  
Total CPU secs in IPOPT (w/o function evaluations) = 0.006  
Total CPU secs in NLP function evaluations = 0.001

EXIT: Optimal Solution Found.

The solution was found.

The final value of the objective function is 241.799999785540

```
-----  
Solver      : IPOPT (v3.12)  
Solution time : 1.449999999022111E-002 sec  
Objective    : 241.800000645399  
Successful solution  
-----
```

```
In [12]: m.options.SOLVER=1  
In [13]: print('')  
         print('Results')  
         print('z11: ' + str(z11.value))  
         print('z12: ' + str(z12.value))  
         print('z13: ' + str(z13.value))  
         print('z21: ' + str(z21.value))  
         print('z22: ' + str(z22.value))  
         print('z23: ' + str(z23.value))  
         print('z31: ' + str(z31.value))  
         print('z32: ' + str(z32.value))  
         print('z33: ' + str(z33.value))  
         Results  
         z11: [0.0]  
         z12: [13.000000004]  
         z13: [0.0]  
         z21: [0.0]  
         z22: [5.000000001]  
         z23: [8.000000001]  
         z31: [11.000000003]  
         z32: [0.0]  
         z33: [4.000000002]
```

Examples 2, 3 and 4 were extracted from Osuji et al (2014), Abdul-Salam (2014) and Opara et al. (2015) respectively, solved via the same procedure as displayed in Example 1, and their results are presented in Table 2, along with the results of others.

**Table 2: Summary of Results for the Four Practical Examples Used**

Example 1	Wolfram Mathematica Optimal Solution	$z_{12} = 13, z_{22} = 5, z_{23} = 8, z_{31} = 11, z_{33} = 4$
	Anaconda Python Optimal Solution	$z_{12} = 13, z_{22} = 5, z_{23} = 8, z_{31} = 11, z_{33} = 4$
	<b>Optimal Value</b>	<b>253,000</b>
Example 2	Wolfram Mathematica Optimal Solution	$z_{14} = 5, z_{15} = 6, z_{22} = 7, z_{23} = 9, z_{25} = 1, z_{31} = 6, z_{34} = 5$
	Anaconda Python Optimal Solution	$z_{14} = 5, z_{15} = 6, z_{22} = 7, z_{23} = 9, z_{25} = 1, z_{31} = 6, z_{34} = 5$
	<b>Optimal Value</b>	<b>377,000</b>
Example 3	Wolfram Mathematica Optimal Solution	$z_{12} = 7, z_{13} = 8, z_{21} = 10, z_{22} = 3, z_{24} = 12, z_{31} = 10$
	Anaconda Python Optimal Solution	$z_{12} = 7, z_{13} = 8, z_{21} = 10, z_{22} = 3, z_{24} = 12, z_{31} = 10$
	<b>Optimal Value</b>	<b>236,000</b>
Example 4	Wolfram Mathematica Optimal Solution	$z_{12} = 8, z_{15} = 5, z_{23} = 12, z_{25} = 4, z_{31} = 9, z_{32} = 2, z_{41} = 1, z_{44} = 14$
	Anaconda Python Optimal Solution	$z_{12} = 8, z_{15} = 5, z_{23} = 12, z_{25} = 4, z_{31} = 9, z_{32} = 2, z_{41} = 1, z_{44} = 14$
	<b>Optimal Value</b>	<b>509,000</b>

## 6 Conclusion

The study employed computer programming languages to solve transportation problem in a concave function. Four real life examples extracted from different authors of published works were employed successfully to demonstrate the effectiveness of the written programmes. The output from the two programming languages is the same for optimal solution.

## References

- Abdul-Salam, S. M. (2014). Transportation with volume discount: A case study of a logistic operator in Ghana. *Journal of Transport Literature*, 8(2), 7–37.
- Amaravathy, A., Thiagarajan, K. & Vimala, S. (2018). Optimal solution of OFSTF, MDMA methods with existing methods comparison. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 119(10), 989 –1000.
- Haruna, I., Ahmed, M. & Akweitley, E. (2012). The network transportation problem with volume discount on shipping cost. *International Journal of Science and Research*, 3(12), 1841 – 1843.
- Kaur, A. & Kumar, A. (2011). A new method for solving fuzzy transportation problems using ranking function. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 35(2011), 5652–5661.

- Minken, H. & Johansen, B. G. (2019). A logistics cost function with explicit transport costs. *Economics of Transportation*, 19(2019), 23 – 31.
- Mostafa, S. A., Juman, Z. A. M. S., Nawi, N. M., Mahdin, H. & Mohammed, M.A. (2022). Improving genetic algorithm to attain better routing solutions for real-world water line system. *In International Conference on Soft Computing and Data Mining*, Switzerland, 292–301.
- Okenwe, I. (2018). Solution to nonlinear transportation problem: A case study of Unilever Nigeria Plc. *International Journal of Mathematics*, 1(11), 1–12.
- Oliveira, C., Qassim, R. & Nzualo, T. (2020). A comparative study of linear programming and nonlinear programming models of the ship speed optimization problem in maritime transportation. *Authorea*, 6(15), 46-58.
- Opara, J., Iheagwara, A. I. & Nwobi, A. C. (2015). Nonlinear transportation problems' algorithm: A case study of the Nigerian bottling company ltd Owerri plant. *International Journal of Research*, 2(2), 1350–1363.
- Osuji, G. A., Opara, J. & Chukwudi, J. O. (2014). Transportation algorithm with volume discount on distribution cost: A case study of the Nigerian bottling company plc Owerri plant. *American Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics*, 2(5), 318–323.
- Psraftis, H. N. & Kontovas, C. A. (2013). Speed models for energy-efficient maritime transportation: A taxonomy and survey. *Transportation Research*, 26(2013), 331–351.
- Rudi, A., Fröhling, M., Zimmer, K. & Schultmann, F. (2016). Freight transportation planning considering carbon emissions and in-transit holding costs: a capacitated multi-commodity network flow model. *EURO Journal on Transportation and Logistical*, 5(2), 123-160.