Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Research Journal of Mathematics**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARJOM_94969**
Title of the Manuscript:	**COMPUTER PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE SOLUTION TO A TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM INVOLVING A CONCAVE COST FUNCTION**
Type of the Article	**COMPUTER PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE SOLUTION TO A TRANSPORTATION PROBLEM INVOLVING A CONCAVE COST FUNCTION**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**Paper is blending of mathematics and computer science concepts, so useful for scientific community.** **Seems good** **Yes** **Yes** **It is correct** **All the references are till 2021 author may incorporate some references from 2022.**	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Almost acceptable	
Optional/General comments	Overall paper may be accepted as it is.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Sanjeev Patwa**
Department, University & Country	**Mody University of Science and Technology, India**