

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_93869
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of Maternal Health Services in Western Ethiopia: A Community-Based Cross-Sectional Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The researcher has explained the research process well. The table has been given a good explanation. In the discussion, it would be better for each item to be discussed in the discussion and given a subtitle from each discussion. Researcher in order to be able to add arguments why the findings are the same or different from previous studies.	
Minor REVISION comments	Request that the research team can explain the variables in this study	
Optional/General comments	In general, the revisions given are quite good, but there are a number of items that still need attention	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dewa Ayu Putu Mariana Kencanawati
Department, University & Country	Politeknik Kesehatan Kemenkes Kupang, Indonesia